

A New Quota Formula—Additional Considerations, Statistical Appendix, and Statement by  
the Managing Director, March 14, 2007

IMF documents cited in this report are available at [www.imf.org](http://www.imf.org)

## INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

**A New Quota Formula—Additional Considerations**Prepared by the Quotas and Voice Working Group<sup>1</sup>

Approved by David Burton

March 14, 2007

	Contents	Page
I. Introduction .....	3	
II. Stocktaking.....	3	
III. Variables .....	4	
A. GDP Conversion .....	4	
B. Population.....	6	
C. Openness .....	7	
D. Measures of Potential Demand for Fund Resources.....	13	
E. Reserves.....	14	
F. Financial Contributions .....	15	
IV. Additional Scenarios.....	16	
V. Issues for Discussion.....	16	

## Tables

1. Scenarios Using GDP Blends .....	18
2. Scenarios Using GDP Blends and Population .....	19
3. Investment Income as a Proxy for IIP—Shares in Global Totals .....	20
4. Financial Openness Variables.....	21
5. Simulated Quota Shares—Financial Openness .....	22
6. Intra-Currency Union Trade—Openness and Variability Shares and Results of Linear Formula.....	23
7. Countries Affected by Reserve Caps—Shares in Global Total .....	24
8. Linear Formulas—Scenarios with Capped Reserves.....	25
9. Linear Formulas—Scenarios Using Different Weights for GDP .....	26
10. Scenarios Using Various Compression Factors.....	27

## Box

1. Financial Account Functional Categories.....	10
---	----

---

<sup>1</sup> Messrs. Burton (Chair), Ahmed, Anjaria, Edwards, Hagan, Kuhn, and Kincaid; a FIN team led by Mr. Tweedie has worked closely with the group.

## I. INTRODUCTION

1. **An informal Executive Board seminar in December 2006 made an important start in the development of a new quota formula.**<sup>2</sup> The discussion was wide ranging, raising a number of important issues that need to be considered further in the development of a formula that can achieve the objectives of the quota reform and command the required broad support within the membership.
2. **This paper seeks to provide a basis for a second discussion, including by exploring issues raised at the December meeting.** The paper also provides further simulations based on a wider range of assumptions regarding possible variables and their weights, as requested by many Directors. As with the last paper, it should be emphasized that this paper does not seek to propose any particular formula and that all the simulations presented should be seen as illustrative and as merely an aid to discussion. Nevertheless, it is hoped that the discussion of this paper will help lay the groundwork for beginning to narrow options and moving toward formulation of a specific proposal in the coming months.
3. **The paper does not address the size of the second round ad hoc quota increases.** This question, which is closely linked to the magnitude of the increase in basic votes, will be taken up at a later stage once the discussion of the formula is more advanced.

## II. STOCKTAKING

4. At the December seminar, there appeared to be **broad acceptance of the principles that the paper suggested should guide the new formula**, namely that the formula should be simple and transparent, consistent with the multiple roles of quotas in the Fund, result in calculated quota shares broadly acceptable to the membership, and be feasible to implement statistically.<sup>3</sup> Beyond this, there were significant differences of view regarding the definition and weights of the variables, and some suggestions for additional variables beyond the traditional four (GDP, openness, variability, and reserves).
5. One overarching issue arising from the simulations provided in the previous paper is that **a simple linear formula with a higher weight on GDP than in the present formulas (with GDP at market exchange rates) tended to result in a higher calculated quota share for advanced countries as a group** (and a lower share for developing and transition countries) than under the existing formulas. While it can be argued that the development of the new formula should not aim at particular outcomes for groups of members, it has been questioned whether such an outcome is consistent with what many see as the broad goals of the reform, including giving a greater voice to the Fund's most dynamic members, many of which are emerging market countries.

---

<sup>2</sup> See *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula* (2006), and *Report of the Managing Director to the International Monetary and Financial Committee on IMF Quota and Voice Reform* (2006).

<sup>3</sup> A caution was raised that simplicity should not come at the expense of ensuring the formula is sufficiently robust to accommodate the diverse circumstances of all members. Some questions were also raised regarding the link between quotas and access.

6. A range of **specific proposals** were made during the December seminar, including exploring a possible role for GDP at purchasing power parity (PPP) and a population variable. The possible introduction of a compression factor was also discussed, and there were calls for further work related to financial openness, the treatment of intra-currency union flows and the possible capping of the reserves variable. In addition, there were requests for simulations based on alternative assumptions for the scenarios provided in *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula* (2006), including different weights for GDP and alternative compression factors. These and other issues are taken up in the remainder of this paper.

### III. VARIABLES

#### A. GDP Conversion

7. **While it is broadly accepted that GDP should play an important role in the quota formula, differences of view remain about how GDP should be converted into a common currency.** To date, GDP has always been converted at market exchange rates for quota purposes. This section explores further the possible role for PPP GDP and its potential implications for calculated quota shares.<sup>4</sup>

8. **This issue can be approached from the perspective of the roles of quotas in the Fund,** as discussed in *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula* (2006). Quotas provide the Fund’s financial base, play a significant role in determining members’ access to Fund resources, and, together with basic votes, determine the distribution of voting power in the Fund. Quota shares have also provided an important metric in past resource mobilization efforts, such as for the PRGF-HIPC Initiative. From the perspective of the Fund’s financial operations, GDP at market rates clearly appears the most appropriate variable. GDP at market rates has been viewed as the single most important indicator of ability to contribute to the Fund and as most relevant to a member’s capacity to borrow since it reflects the international market value of resources generated by the economy. The majority of the quota formula review group (QFRG) viewed GDP at market rates as the appropriate GDP variable, primarily from the standpoint of GDP as an indicator of capacity to contribute financial resources to the Fund.<sup>5</sup>

9. However, it has been argued that **PPP GDP may be relevant to the Fund’s non-financial activities**, particularly surveillance but also capacity building. While there is a close link between surveillance and Fund lending, surveillance also has broader public good aspects that are important for all members. PPP GDP is a measure of the volume of goods and services produced by an economy. This is why it is used in the *World Economic Outlook*

<sup>4</sup> As highlighted in *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula* (2006), PPP leads to a larger relative GDP for developing countries because it places a higher relative weight on production in the non-tradables sector for these countries than would be implied by conversion at a market exchange rate.

<sup>5</sup> See *Report to the IMF Executive Board of the Quota Formula Review Group* (2000). A minority of the group’s members viewed GDP converted at PPP rates as a better measure of real economic activity and growth.

(WEO) for measuring global and regional GDP and its growth. Hence, it has been argued that PPP GDP is a relevant measure of “weight” in the global economy.

10. Given that quotas have both financial and non-financial roles, it has been suggested that consideration be given to **a blended GDP variable, using a combination of GDP at market rates and PPP GDP**. If such a variable were to be considered, deciding the proportions of the two GDP measures in a blended variable would be a matter for the collective judgment of the membership, taking into account a range of factors including the roles of quotas, the links between these roles, and the data issues discussed below. However, to the extent that quotas remain central to the Fund’s finances, it would seem appropriate that GDP at market rates continue to play an important role.

11. As discussed previously, **data quality issues have also been viewed as impeding consideration of using PPP GDP to date**. The currently available data on PPP GDP have substantial weaknesses, with coverage and quality issues at a country level that could significantly complicate their use for quota purposes. These weaknesses include long lags since benchmarks were established for many members, gaps in participation that force heavy reliance on estimation, and a lack of common methodology, sources and benchmark years.

12. In recognition of these issues, **a major effort is underway as part of the latest round of the International Comparison Program (ICP) to upgrade the quality of available PPP GDP data**. The Board was recently briefed on this work, which is scheduled to be completed by end-2007. Its objective is to provide a consistent database on PPP GDP using a common benchmark year for the 147 economies (not all of them Fund members) participating in this voluntary program. Completion of this project should go a long way to addressing the data quality concerns surrounding use of PPP GDP for quota purposes.

13. **While not insurmountable, some timing and other uncertainties remain in this regard.** The current ICP round represents a major undertaking involving many participants, and slippages in the timetable cannot be excluded, which could mean that updated PPP GDP data may not be available for at least some countries by end-2007 as planned. Given the substantial methodological changes involved, it is also possible that participating countries may need time to review the new data before they are fully accepted. In addition, estimates would be required for the 41 Fund members not participating in the current ICP round, and perhaps others. Also, while preliminary discussions among participants are encouraging, there is no agreement as yet on the continuation of the ICP beyond the current round, which could have a bearing on the continued availability of high quality PPP GDP data for use in future quota calculations beyond the current reforms.

14. **Use of PPP GDP would have significant implications for the distribution of calculated quota shares.** While the new ICP round is likely to lead to significant changes in PPP GDP estimates for individual countries, the currently available PPP GDP data should provide at least a broad indication of the impact of incorporating a blended GDP variable into the formula. As discussed in *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula* (2006, Table 1), the shares of different country groups in global GDP at market rates and PPP GDP differ significantly (individual country data were provided in Supplement 2 to *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula*, 2006). Reflecting these differences, Table 1 of

this paper illustrates the implications of a blended GDP variable, with a full range of blends for the weight on PPP GDP from 0 to 100 percent at intervals of 25 percentage points. For purely illustrative purposes, the total weight on GDP is assumed to be 50 percent; openness, 30 percent; variability, 15 percent; and reserves, 5 percent, with the other variables as defined in *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula* (2006), pages 15–16. It must be stressed that the choice of variable weights and definitions is not intended to prejudice the outcome of the discussion on these issues. A single set of weights is used merely to avoid the presentation of multiple scenarios that would not shed additional light on the impact of using PPP GDP. In general, the impact is to reduce the calculated quota share of advanced countries and increase that of developing countries, with the effect more pronounced the larger the weight of PPP GDP in the blended variable. The impact varies across members depending on a variety of factors, including per capita income and the relative size of the traded and non-traded goods sectors. Country-by-country results are presented in Table 1a of a supplement to this paper being issued concurrently.

## B. Population

15. **At the December seminar, suggestions were also made for further consideration of the inclusion of population in the new formula.** Inclusion of population is by no means a new idea, and indeed has been discussed since the first formula was established at Bretton Woods. Proponents have noted that global decision-making affects the economic welfare of all individuals—that is the public goods provided by the Fund seek to maximize global economic welfare, and all individuals have a stake in those public goods.<sup>6</sup> Basic votes may be seen as recognizing this argument at the country level, but are not related to population since all countries receive the same number of basic votes regardless of the size of their populations. Thus, a case has been made for including population in the quota formula from the perspective of measuring members' relative stakes in the international public goods provided by the Fund. This case is in some ways similar to that for PPP GDP; the measures themselves have a correlation of 0.67 (see *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula*, 2006, Table 2). Also, reliable data on population are generally available. In past discussions, however, inclusion of a population variable has not received broad support on the grounds that the Fund is essentially a monetary institution, and population is a non-economic variable that does not bear directly on international monetary issues. Given these considerations, and the important financial role of quotas, it would seem appropriate that if a population variable is considered, its weight should be relatively small compared with the main economic variables that have been discussed to date.

16. **Inclusion of population in the quota formula would tend to increase calculated quota shares for developing countries.** As shown in Table 1 of *Quotas—Further Thoughts*

---

<sup>6</sup> The QFRG noted that the inclusion of a population variable could also capture per capita income differences (*Report to the IMF Executive Board of the Quota Formula Review Group*, 2000). See also *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula* (2006). Some authors have called for the inclusion of a population variable to address a “democracy deficit.” See, for example, Mirakhor, A. and Zaidi, I., *Rethinking the Governance of the International Monetary Fund*, IMF Working Paper WP/06/273, December 2006 and Kelkar, V. et al, *The International Monetary Fund: Integration and Democratization in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century*, G-24 Technical Group Meeting, Manila, Philippines, March 2005.

*on a New Quota Formula* (2006), the shares of major country groups in world population differ substantially from those for the main economic variables that have been considered relevant for a new formula. For example, based on 2004 data, advanced countries made up about 14 percent of the world's population, with developing and transition countries accounting for 80 and 6 percent, respectively.

17. This said, **depending on the choice of weights, including a population variable with a reduced weight for market-rate GDP can lead to results at the group level that are similar to inclusion of PPP GDP in a blended variable** (based on current PPP GDP data), though with some differences at the country level. This is illustrated in Table 2 (and Table 2a of the supplement), which shows the effect of replacing 5 (and 10) percentage points of the weight on GDP at market rates in the base formula in Table 1 with a 5 (and 10) percent weight on population. These simulations yield very similar results at the group level to the formula with a 50 percent weight on a blended GDP variable with 25 percent (and 50 percent) PPP GDP. The outcomes with other PPP GDP blends and different weights on population can be similarly compared.

### C. Openness

18. **At the December seminar, there were calls** for further work on how the variable can be modernized to include financial as well as trade openness. Issues arising from measuring gross flows rather than value added and the possible case for making an adjustment for intra-currency union flows were also discussed. A variety of views were expressed on the importance to be given to the openness variable in a new quota formula.

#### Financial openness

19. **Financial openness has long been viewed as potentially relevant to the multiple roles of quotas.** The conceptual case is broadly similar to that for the existing openness variable: in a modern world, integration in global capital markets is an increasingly important indicator of a member's stake in the global economy and in global financial stability; it is also relevant to a member's ability to contribute to the Fund's finances and its potential need for Fund resources. The latter is already recognized in the proposal to modernize the measure of variability by including net capital flows as well as current receipts.<sup>7</sup> However, data issues have so far impeded development of a satisfactory measure of financial openness.

20. **In previous discussions, consideration has been given to both qualitative and quantitative measures.** However, it has generally been agreed that only the latter could provide a sufficiently objective basis to be used for quota calculations.<sup>8</sup> There are two broad options for a quantitative measure: stocks and flows.

---

<sup>7</sup> Net capital flows here refer to financial account flows as defined in the Fund's *Balance of Payments Manual*, fifth edition (BPM5), excluding changes in reserves and related items.

<sup>8</sup> See *Quota Distribution—Selected Issues* (2003), for an extensive discussion of these issues and *IMF Executive Board Discusses Quota Distribution Issues, Public Information Notice No. 03/106*.

21. **A stock variable that has been considered in the past is the absolute sum of a member's foreign financial asset and liability positions reported as part of its International Investment Position (IIP).** Such a variable would seem well related conceptually to the issue of capital market integration, as it provides a quantitative measure of the extent of investment in a country by non-residents, and of offshore investment by residents of the same country. But, as noted in previous papers, data availability remains an important constraint: while there have been significant advances in the coverage of IIP data in recent years, full or partial IIP data are currently available for 106 countries, with only 85 considered comprehensive reporters.<sup>9</sup> Staff have examined the possibility of gap filling, but do not consider this viable at present.<sup>10</sup> Also, differences arise in the valuation of direct investment, with some countries reporting it at market value and others at book value.

22. Financial flow data could also be considered as a parallel to the use of current receipts and payments in the existing openness variable. **One such option is to use investment income as a proxy for IIP.** This would have the advantage of using already available data, as investment income is included as part of current receipts and payments in the existing openness measure.<sup>11</sup> For the membership as a whole, the correlation between investment income and IIP is high at 0.98 for the subset of countries that currently report comprehensive IIP data. Differences for individual countries may reflect differences in earning rates across asset and liability classes, differences in composition, and likely under-reporting of investment income flows (and positions) in some cases.<sup>12</sup> Table 3 shows the shares in global totals for both measures, with investment income shares presented both for the subset of IIP reporting countries and for the membership (data for individual countries are provided in Table 3a in the supplement). For the sub-group of IIP reporting countries, advanced countries account for about 90 percent of the global totals, in part reflecting the fact that non-reporters mainly comprise developing countries. Even looking at the whole membership in the case of investment income flows, however, advanced countries still account for about 81 percent of the total. Among individual countries, some members with important international financial centers have relatively large shares of both IIP and investment flows (e.g., Ireland, Luxembourg, and the United Kingdom), and further consideration would need to be given to

---

<sup>9</sup> Comprehensive reporters refer to countries that have reported three years of data.

<sup>10</sup> A recent working paper points to the challenges involved—See *The External Wealth of Nations Mark II: Revised and Extended Estimates of Foreign Assets and Liabilities, 1970–2004*, Philip Lane and Gian Maria Milesi-Ferretti (IMF Working Paper WP/06/69). The authors employ a range of sources for underlying data, and use a variety of valuation techniques and assumptions to estimate IIPs for 143 countries. This work has important analytical applications, but the need for substantial judgments in constructing the series would make its use highly problematic for quota calculations.

<sup>11</sup> IFS data are available on investment income for the majority of Fund members and others are gap-filled through the WEO based on an established methodology laid out in Appendix I, page 10, of *Quotas—Updated Calculations* (2006). There is a small group of countries (eight) for which no IFS or WEO data on investment income are currently available, and alternative methods for gap-filling would need to be considered.

<sup>12</sup> In addition, for countries with large portfolio equity investments, use of investment income would tend to have a downward bias, as only dividends (and not capital gains) would be captured.

the adequacy of the adjustments made to these data for the purpose of quota calculations (see below).<sup>13</sup>

**23. Investment income could be used as a proxy for IIP by treating these flows separately from other current account flows and giving them a different weight in a new openness variable.**<sup>14</sup> On average, the implicit weight of investment income in the total openness measure at present is about 10 percent, reflecting the size of investment income relative to other current account flows. To better capture financial openness, investment income flows could be given a higher weight. The precise choice of weights would need to be determined, taking account of such factors as the relative importance to be given to trade and financial considerations in the new openness measure.

**24. As an alternative approach, staff have examined the possible scope for developing a measure of aggregate financial asset and liability flows.** Conceptually, such an approach would focus on gross flows related to three broad categories of the financial account: foreign direct investment; portfolio investment and financial derivatives; and other investment (Box 1). In practice, however, such gross flow data are not available; instead, IFS data for the financial account reflect asset and liability flows, broken down by functional category (direct, portfolio, etc) and by instrument, where they are measured on a net basis. As such, a financial account measure can as a practical matter only reflect the *aggregation* of net asset and liability flows for each balance of payments functional category.<sup>15</sup> Such a measure understates the overall scale of financial flows, and therefore may not fully capture differences in financial integration across countries. Churning (or the in-and-out movement of capital related to short-term flows) has been a concern with gross flows data; the effective netting implicit in the financial account flow data would reduce, but not necessarily eliminate, this concern.

**25. In addition to this conceptual question, data issues would also need to be resolved.** Financial account data in many countries, including those reported in the IFS, are uneven in terms of accuracy and are generally less comprehensive than the other data used for the quota formulas. Also, as for other variables, gap-filling would be required for countries where data are not available through the IFS. However, gap-filling for the financial

<sup>13</sup> The current quota data on investment income flows include adjustments for international banking interest (IBI), introduced with the Ninth General Review (completed in 1990). IBI is defined as interest payments by non-residents on their borrowing from domestic banks and by domestic banks on deposits held by non-residents. The actual adjustment is made by deducting the lower of gross interest paid and gross interest earned from other investments—so that only net interest for this category is recorded. Adjustments are made for the G-10, Luxembourg, China, P.R., and Hong Kong SAR. Data for China, P.R., and Hong Kong SAR were also adjusted for IBI in the context of the 2001 ad hoc quota increase for China (see *Quotas—Updated Calculations*, 2006).

<sup>14</sup> To smooth short-term fluctuations in investment income, it would seem reasonable to use the same five-year average period that is applied to current receipts and payments.

<sup>15</sup> However, this is a distinct issue from the measure of net capital flows included in the variability measure as defined in footnote 8 (i.e., the *difference* between net asset and liability flows in the financial account), since the same level of net capital flows could be consistent with many different levels of aggregate flows and, therefore, degrees of capital account integration.

account is generally more problematic than for other quota data because WEO data involve a higher degree of netting and because financial account data are more volatile on a year-to-year basis. Staff have compiled a dataset for purely illustrative purposes, but the issue of whether such a measure is feasible statistically would need to be further considered if a financial account based flow variable were pursued.

### **Box 1. Financial Account Functional Categories**

The flow measure described in the main text is based on the aggregation of assets and liability flows recorded in each functional category in the financial account, excluding reserves. These financial account categories are:

- **Direct Investment:** these transactions reflect the lasting interest of a resident entity in one economy (direct investor) in an entity in another economy.
- **Portfolio Investment and Financial Derivatives:** Portfolio investment covers transactions in equity and debt securities. Financial derivatives (or secondary instruments) usually do not extend to actual delivery and are utilized for hedging risks, investment, and trading purposes. These data are reported separately in the *Balance of Payments Statistics Yearbook (BOPSY)*; that said, only 46 members report financial derivatives flows, with many countries reporting these transactions in the portfolio investment category of the *BOPSY*. Also, the financial derivatives category does not exist in the WEO. For these reasons, these two categories are presented together in this report.
- **Other Investment:** This is a residual category in the financial account that includes financial transactions not covered in direct investment, portfolio investment and financial derivatives, or reserve assets. The instrument classification comprises trade credits, loans, currency and deposits, and other assets and liabilities.

26. **Table 4 summarizes the shares in global totals of major country groups for investment income and each of the financial account categories discussed above, including the aggregate of the financial account categories.** Individual country data are presented in Table 4a of the supplement. It is evident that any of these flow-based measures of financial openness would give a high weight to advanced countries; this effect is most pronounced for the financial account categories and somewhat less so in the case of investment income flows, in part due to the fact that no data adjustments have been made to the financial account data.<sup>16</sup> In this context, an examination of the individual country data underlying these flows highlights the role played by international financial centers; for example, the share of the United Kingdom in global “other investment” is 26.1 percent, Luxembourg accounts for 11.2 percent of global FDI, and the Netherlands accounts for 10.2 percent of total portfolio investment and financial derivative flows. This applies across all financial account categories, suggesting that the data adjustment issues involved in the use of financial account flows could be particularly challenging. It is also not clear that there is a strong conceptual basis for excluding one or more categories from the measure.

---

<sup>16</sup> As noted in footnote 14, data on investment income include adjustments for international banking interest.

27. **Table 5 illustrates the possible effect of explicitly including a financial element as part of the openness variable.** Using the same baseline as in Table 1 for illustrative purposes, a blended openness measure has been constructed using investment income flows for which the conceptual and data issues appear on balance less problematic than for financial account flows. Again for purely illustrative purposes, equal weights have been given to the trade and financial components of openness in each case. As can be seen, advanced country shares generally increase versus the base scenario from Table 1. As demonstrated in Table 5a of the supplement, these effects are most pronounced for individual members with prominent international financial centers.

### **Intra-currency union flows**

28. At the December seminar, **suggestions were also made for further work on whether intra-currency union flows should be excluded from the measure of openness.**<sup>17</sup> The Fund has recognized the special characteristics of currency unions in its surveillance structure, with special modalities for members of such unions.<sup>18</sup> In terms of the quota exercise, this raises the question of whether there is a conceptual case for making a specific adjustment to the data used for quota calculations for members of currency unions. Two aspects have been raised in this regard: (i) that the measure of openness captures gross flows rather than value added and the possibility that increases in these flows as a result of growing specialization and integration within a currency union may tend to overstate the openness of these countries from a broader global perspective; and (ii) that flows within a currency union take place in a common currency.

29. On the former, **some proponents of excluding intra-currency union trade have argued that gross trade figures present a distorted picture of trade integration for members of a currency union.** They note that currency union membership encourages greater vertical integration of production processes, creating an upward bias in gross trade figures versus domestic value added. The issue is complicated, however, by the difficulty of determining the degree to which the currency union itself is the driver for increased intra-regional trade and vertical integration.<sup>19</sup> Such integration is not unique to currency unions, but is also relevant to other liberalized trading regimes (e.g., free trade agreements and customs unions), raising difficult questions about where the dividing line for exclusion would

<sup>17</sup> Methodological issues related to the existing data adjustments, including in regard to entrepôt trade, have also been raised and will be taken up in a subsequent paper.

<sup>18</sup> Regional surveillance has been formalized for four currency unions: Euro Area, Eastern Caribbean Currency Union (ECCU), Central African Economic and Monetary Union (CEMAC) and West African Economic and Monetary Union (WAEMU). See *Fund Surveillance Over Members of Currency Unions* (2005).

<sup>19</sup> For a summary of debate on this issue, see *Trade Volume Effects of the Euro: Aggregate and Sector Estimates*, Harry Flam and Håkan Nordström, Seminar Paper No. 746, Institute for International Economic Studies, Stockholm University, June 2006 and *Measuring the Trade Effects of EMU*, Hamid Faruqee, IMF Working Paper WP/04/154. The first study highlights the importance of vertical integration to the effect of the single currency on intra-euro area trade, estimated at about 15 percent in the period 1998–2002 versus 1989–97. Both studies point to a positive effect of the euro on members' trade with non-members (about 8 percent in the first study). For an alternative view, see *Zooming Out: The Trade Effects of the Euro in Historical Perspective*, Helge Berger and Volker Nitsch, CESifo Working Paper No. 1435, December 2004.

be drawn. Also, vertical integration is playing an increasingly important role in global trade more broadly.<sup>20</sup> The QFRG noted that, while economic unions can lead to substantial “double-counting” of cross-border trade relative to value added in economic activity, this issue arises whenever there is close economic integration between two or more countries and not just when a formal economic union has been established.<sup>21</sup>

**30. That intra-currency union flows take place in a common currency has also been cited as a reason for their possible exclusion for the purpose of quota calculations.** This argument focuses primarily on the role of quotas in determining potential access to Fund resources, and on whether intra-currency union flows should be taken into account in that regard. The existence of a currency union reduces an important source of potential balance of payments risk for its members (i.e., exchange rate risk), particularly in cases where intra-union stocks and flows account for a large share of the members’ total external balance sheet position and balance of payments. That said, currency union membership does not preclude the possibility of balance of payments difficulties arising from other sources of country-specific risk, including macroeconomic risk, political risk, and or liability-related risk arising in either the public or private sector. In 1998, the Executive Board noted that identification of balance of payments need is likely to be more difficult in currency unions, but also that circumstances could arise where such a need could be discerned, a view that was supported by the QFRG. In practice, the Fund has provided balance of payments support to a number of currency union members of the WAEMU, CEMAC, and ECCU. Pooling arrangements between members of a currency union provide some additional protection against balance of payments pressures, but such arrangements are also not unique to currency unions. Thus, while there could be a question as to whether transactions within a currency union are as relevant to a country’s potential need for Fund resources as transactions outside the currency union area, the issue is complex and not easy to resolve.

**31. Were a decision taken to exclude intra-currency union flows, some difficult data issues would arise.** Specifically, while time-series data for intra-currency union merchandise trade are generally available, services data are incomplete.<sup>22</sup> Also, if the definition of openness were to be modified to explicitly include financial openness, this would raise the question of whether to also exclude intra-union financial flows and, if so, how.

---

<sup>20</sup> See, for example, *Production fragmentation and trade integration: East Asia in a global context*, Prema-chandra Athukorala, Nobuaki Yamashita, The North American Journal of Economics and Finance, 17 (2006) 233–256. The paper finds that, while trade in parts and components (fragmentation trade) has generally grown faster than total world manufacturing trade, the degree of dependence of East Asia on this new form of international specialization is proportionately larger than in North America and Europe. They stress, however, that there is no evidence to suggest that this has reduced the region’s dependence on the global economy, noting that growth dynamism based on vertical specialization depends on extra-regional trade in final goods, and this dependence has in fact increased over the years.

<sup>21</sup> See *Report to the IMF Executive Board of the Quota Formula Review Group* (2000).

<sup>22</sup> Direction of Trade statistics register merchandise trade imports on a CIF basis. These data are not directly comparable with IFS trade statistics, including because imports are registered on a FOB basis. The CIF statistics capture elements that are recorded as services in the IFS data.

32. **Table 6 illustrates the potential impact of excluding intra-currency union merchandise trade flows.** These calculations use the same baseline as the previous tables, and adjustments are limited to available data on intra-currency union trade. The main impact is to reduce the aggregate calculated quota share of euro-area members by 2.8 percentage points. For members of other currency unions (WAEMU, CEMAC, and ECCU), the impact is more modest as intra-currency union trade is generally smaller for these members, and they also benefit from the decline in share for euro-area members. The data for individual members are shown in Table 6a of the supplement.

33. **The above estimates for the impact on euro-area members are sensitive to the weight on openness in the new formula.** As Table 6 shows, the adjustment for intra-currency union trade reduces euro-area members' share in total openness significantly from 31.8 to 23.3 percent, and in variability from 23.1 to 21.4 percent. The resulting decline in the aggregate calculated quota share of euro-area members would be significantly larger (5.9 percentage points) using the existing formulas because the effective contribution of both current receipts and payments combined under the existing formulas is about 50 percent on average for the membership as a whole, and is even higher for euro-area members as a group.<sup>23</sup>

#### D. Measures of Potential Demand for Fund Resources

34. **Variability has traditionally been included in the quota formulas as a measure of members' potential need for Fund resources, as discussed in *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula*.** Variability was included in the original Bretton Woods formula and was one of the two variables recommended for inclusion in a new formula by the QFRG (along with GDP). The QFRG noted that this variable should be updated to take account of capital flows, and discussions have since centered on a variable that captures variability of current receipts and net capital flows, measured as the standard deviation from a three-year trend. Staff had proposed use of a three-year rather than a five-year trend since reversals in capital flows can be quite sudden and sometimes short-lived, and Directors generally agreed, in previous discussions, that a three-year measure would serve to smooth trends while adequately capturing fluctuations in capital flows.<sup>24</sup>

35. In the December discussion, questions were raised regarding **the weight that should be assigned to variability in the new formula and whether an alternative set of variables should be considered that may better indicate a member's vulnerability** and potential need for Fund resources. It was suggested that these could be derived, for example, based on

---

<sup>23</sup> For the existing formulas, contributions are estimated as the variable's value for each member, multiplied by its coefficient in the applicable formula, expressed in relation to the member's calculated quota. A variable's coefficient includes the multiplicative factor (the ratio of current receipts to GDP) in the case of nonlinear formulas. If for a given country more than one formula is used, coefficients are averaged. The measurement of contributions are approximations because of the non-linearity of the existing five formulas and high correlation among the variables.

<sup>24</sup> See *Alternative Quota Formulas—Considerations* (2001), *Alternative Quota Formulas—Further Considerations* (2002), including Table 3 and Supplement 1, Table 3; and *IMF Executive Board Discusses Quota Formulas*, Public Information Notice No. 02/59.

past use of Fund resources, country ratings by private firms, country spreads, and vulnerability ratios, such as short-term debt to reserves. In this context, it is worth noting that the traditional variability measure may be thought of as an attempt to capture more structural features of a member's economy that may make it subject to external shocks.<sup>25</sup> This is distinct from an attempt to measure actual vulnerability or likelihood of using Fund resources, as a member with high variability may nonetheless be in a strong external position with little if any probability of actually drawing on the Fund. This is also consistent with two of the other traditional quota variables—GDP and openness—that also have a bearing on the potential size of a member's need for recourse to the Fund but not on the probability of such recourse. A proposal to use short-term measures of actual vulnerability would represent a major departure from this approach, and would also raise a number of difficult implementation issues, including that many of these measures are subject to substantial short-term volatility, may be seen as rewarding imprudent policies, and raise possible concerns about reliance on private sector judgments in the quota formula.

#### E. Reserves

36. **In the December seminar, there were several calls for work on the feasibility of introducing a cap on the reserves variable.** The rationale would be to avoid rewarding what some view as excessive reserve accumulation that could otherwise result in a higher calculated quota.

37. **Designing a workable cap would pose a number of challenges.** In particular, it would require reaching a consensus among the membership as to what represents a reasonable benchmark above which reserves should no longer be taken into account for the purposes of quota calculations. The optimal reserves literature provides little practical guidance in this regard, focusing on models that recognize multiple factors that may drive country-specific reserve decisions.<sup>26</sup> Traditional reserve adequacy benchmarks (e.g., coverage of short-term external debt, imports, or current payments) have sometimes been used to define rough proxies for excess reserves, e.g., at some multiple of adequacy levels.<sup>27</sup> However, their application to quota calculations would raise a number of difficult issues. First, it would be difficult to define a single reserve adequacy measure suited to the diverse circumstances of all members; financial indicators are more relevant for members with market access and traditional trade-based measures more suitable for others; even within these groups, optimal (and, by association, excessive) reserves would vary based on a range of broader macroeconomic factors (including the choice of exchange rate regime) and financial considerations. Second, a cap based on some reserve adequacy measures could tend to reward countries whose policies have resulted in higher vulnerabilities. In the case of a measure based on short-term liabilities, there are also important data constraints that preclude

---

<sup>25</sup> This is clearly an imperfect measure, however, as it cannot distinguish between external volatility resulting from structural or exogenous causes and volatility that may have resulted from imperfect policies.

<sup>26</sup> See, for example, *Country Insurance—The Role of Domestic Policies* (2007).

<sup>27</sup> Lawrence Summers, for example, suggested a rough figure of two times the adequacy measure defined by the Guidotti-Greenspan rule in “Reflections on Global Account Imbalances and Emerging Markets Reserve Accumulation,” March 24, 2006 [http://www.president.harvard.edu/speeches/2006/0324\\_rbi.html](http://www.president.harvard.edu/speeches/2006/0324_rbi.html).

developing a uniform measure for quota calculations based on national data with sufficiently broad country coverage. Caps based on macroeconomic scale indicators (e.g., money or GDP) could also be considered, but again, would not capture the differences arising from distinctions in the underlying circumstances of members. A money-based indicator could also be seen as rewarding loose monetary policies.

**38. In light of these difficulties, staff have prepared a few simple measures to illustrate the potential application of a reserve cap.** For purely illustrative purposes, caps are defined as the sample mean plus one standard deviation from for the ratio of reserves to: current payments, M2, and GDP. Table 7 demonstrates the effect of a cap on members' shares of a reserve variable in terms of the number and composition of affected countries, as well as the scale of the effect. As can be seen, the potential impact of such a cap differs considerably depending upon the specific metric chosen. Tables 8 and 8a demonstrate the effects in terms of simulated calculated quota shares under the base scenario in Table 1. In general, this effect is limited, reflecting the relatively small weight for reserves. This suggests that the potential distortions that excess reserves may create are also limited, even without such a cap.

#### F. Financial Contributions

**39. A proposal was made in the December discussion to include a new variable that would reflect members' financial contributions to the Fund.** The specific proposal was to include members' contributions to the PRGF and NAB as a measure of their ability and willingness to contribute to the Fund's finances.

**40. Financial contributions have long been recognized as a relevant factor in considering increases in individual members' quotas.**<sup>28</sup> This reflects the central role of quotas in the Fund's finances as discussed at the beginning of this paper. In particular, there are numerous examples where past and prospective financial contributions to the Fund have been taken into account in determining increases in members' quotas both within and outside general quota reviews: these include the quota increases agreed for certain industrial countries in the 1959 and the Fourth General Reviews aimed at improving the Fund's liquidity, ad hoc quota increases for Italy in 1964 and Saudi Arabia in 1981; the selective increases for major oil-exporting countries in the Sixth Review; the ad hoc increase for Japan in the Ninth Review, and the additional increases for Korea, Luxembourg, Singapore, Malaysia, and Thailand in the Eleventh Review. While contributions to the Fund's finances have been an important consideration, however, these have generally been supplemental to the issue of whether a member's quota is out of line with its relative economic position, and they have not been included directly in the quota formulas.

**41. Including such a variable explicitly in the formula would raise several practical difficulties.** First, it would be necessary to define which types of contributions should be considered, and over what period, since members have contributed to the Fund's finances in a variety of forms over time. It could also involve a degree of circularity because quota

---

<sup>28</sup>See *Quotas and Voice—Further Considerations* (2005).

shares themselves have been used as an important guide to members' financial contributions in the past, including for the PRGF and the NAB.<sup>29</sup> Finally, members' external financial positions can change, sometimes rapidly, such that past contributions may not always be a good indicator of members' ability to contribute in future. For these reasons, it may be preferable not to include a measure of financial contributions in the quota formula itself, but rather to continue to retain the flexibility to take into account the ability of members to contribute to the Fund's financing needs when considering actual quota increases.

#### IV. ADDITIONAL SCENARIOS

**42. Directors also requested additional scenarios based on those covered in *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula* (2006).** Specifically, there were requests for a wider range of assumptions regarding the weight on GDP in the formula beyond the range of 40 to 60 percent. In this context, it should be noted that, while under the existing formulas, the average contribution of GDP to calculated quota shares is 29 percent, there is substantial variance around this average.<sup>30</sup> Table 9 (and 9a in the supplement) provides simulations based on GDP weights of 35 and 65 percent, respectively, with two alternative scenarios for the weights on openness and variability. There were also requests for simulations with alternative assumptions regarding a compression factor beyond the range of 0.9 and 0.95 in the last paper. As noted in *Quotas—Further Thoughts on a New Quota Formula* (2006), use of a compression factor leaves unchanged the country rankings that result from a new uncompressed formula, but narrows the resulting distribution of shares. Table 10 (and 10a in the supplement) provides simulations using a compression factor of 0.8 and 0.85. As expected, these scenarios reduce calculated quota shares for the largest economies, with all other economies gaining. Overall, developing and transition countries' calculated shares rise with use of a compression factor, and advanced country shares decline, with a smaller compression factor leading to a greater change.

#### V. ISSUES FOR DISCUSSION

**43. Directors may wish to comment on:**

- The potential for further exploring a possible blended variable combining market-rate and PPP-based GDP, recognizing the work underway to address existing data constraints.
- Whether they also see benefit to exploring further a possible role for a population variable.
- The merits of explicitly incorporating a financial openness measure into the current openness variable, and if so, whether they agree that a measure based on investment

---

<sup>29</sup> In addition, participation in the NAB is not open to all members but rather requires the agreement of both the Fund and existing participants with the requisite voting majority.

<sup>30</sup> In particular, for some highly open economies, the effective weight on GDP is quite low under the current formulas, including because of the multiplicative element in some of the formulas.

income appears to be the most productive starting point, taking account of limitations in IIP data, as well as the nature of financial account flows and related data issues.

- How the issue of intra-currency union flows should be addressed, given the complexities of the issue and incomplete data on intra-currency union flows beyond merchandise trade.
- Whether they continue to see the proposed variability measure based on deviations from a three-year trend in current receipts and net capital flows as the most promising measure of potential need for Fund resources in a new formula.
- Whether a reserve cap is worth exploring further, or whether maintaining a limited weight on reserves would reduce the need for such a cap.
- Whether past and prospective financial contributions to the Fund should continue to be taken into account, as appropriate, in considering actual quota increases rather than built into the quota formula itself.

**Table 1. Scenarios Using GDP Blends 1/  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 2/			Existing Five Formulas			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/ GDP Blend 5/		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/	100/0	75/25	50/50	25/75	0/100		
Advanced economies	61.6	60.5	67.1	70.8	67.6	64.5	61.3	58.1	
Major advanced economies	46.0	45.2	47.3	55.3	52.6	49.9	47.2	44.5	
Of which: US	17.4	17.1	16.8	23.1	21.9	20.7	19.5	18.3	
Other advanced economies	15.6	15.3	19.8	15.5	15.0	14.6	14.1	13.6	
Developing countries	30.9	32.1	27.6	24.5	27.4	30.2	33.0	35.8	
Africa	5.5	5.4	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.8	3.1	
Asia 6/	10.3	11.5	15.3	13.0	15.2	17.3	19.5	21.7	
Middle East, Malta & Turkey	7.6	7.6	4.7	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.2	
Western Hemisphere	7.5	7.6	5.2	5.6	5.9	6.2	6.5	6.8	
Transition economies	7.5	7.4	5.3	4.6	5.0	5.3	5.7	6.0	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Memorandum Item:									
EU 27	32.9	32.4	37.9	33.5	32.4	31.4	30.3	29.3	
LICs 7/	7.5	7.4	3.6	3.5	4.3	5.1	6.0	6.8	

Source: Finance Department.

1/ GDP at market rates and GDP at purchasing power parity rates blended in proportion indicated.

2/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

3/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

4/ 0.5\*GDP + 0.3\*Openness + 0.15\*Variability + 0.05\*Reserves.

5/ A GDP blend (x/y) is defined as x percent of GDP weighted at market exchange rates and y percent weighted at PPP-exchange rates. PPP data were retrieved from the WEO database for 176 countries. For nine countries with no WEO data, PPP GDP was estimated based on each country's share in global GDP at market rates.

6/ Including Korea and Singapore.

7/ PRGF-eligible countries.

**Table 2. Scenarios Using GDP Blends and Population 1/  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 2/			Existing Five Formulas			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/ GDP Blend 5/			Linear Formula With Population as a Variable 6/ (45/30/15/5/5) (40/30/15/5/10)		
	Pre Ad Hoc	Post Ad Hoc	Increases 3/	100/0	75/25	50/50	67.7	52.6	49.9	64.5	52.6	64.5
	Increases						70.8	55.3	21.9	20.7	21.8	50.0
Advanced economies	61.6	60.5	67.1	70.8	67.6	64.5	67.7	52.6	49.9	64.5	52.6	64.5
Major advanced economies	46.0	45.2	47.3	55.3	52.6	49.9	52.6	52.6	49.9	52.6	52.6	50.0
Of which: US	17.4	17.1	16.8	23.1	21.9	20.7	21.8	21.8	20.7	21.8	21.8	20.5
Other advanced economies	15.6	15.3	19.8	15.5	15.0	14.6	15.0	15.0	14.6	15.0	15.0	14.6
Developing countries	30.9	32.1	27.6	24.5	27.4	30.2	27.5	27.5	30.2	27.5	27.5	30.5
Africa	5.5	5.4	2.4	2.2	2.4	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.7	2.7	3.3
Asia 7/	10.3	11.5	15.3	13.0	15.2	17.3	15.1	15.1	17.3	15.1	15.1	17.3
Middle East, Malta & Turkey	7.6	7.6	4.7	3.8	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.9	4.1
Western Hemisphere	7.5	7.6	5.2	5.6	5.9	6.2	5.7	5.7	6.2	5.7	5.7	5.9
Transition economies	7.5	7.4	5.3	4.6	5.0	5.3	4.8	4.8	5.0	4.8	4.8	5.0
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Memorandum Item:												
EU 27	32.9	32.4	37.9	33.5	32.4	31.4	32.3	32.3	31.4	32.3	32.3	31.2
LICs 8/	7.5	7.4	3.6	3.5	4.3	5.1	5.2	5.2	5.1	5.2	5.2	7.0

Source: Finance Department.

1/ GDP at market rates and GDP at purchasing power parity rates blended in proportion indicated.

2/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.  
3/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey, also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

4/ 0.5\*GDP + 0.3\*Openness + 0.15\*Variability + 0.05\*Reserves.

5/ A GDP blend (x/y) is defined as x percent of GDP weighted at market exchange rates and y percent weighted at PPP-exchange rates. PPP data were retrieved from the WEO database for 176 countries. For nine countries with no WEO data, PPP GDP was estimated based on the countries' share in global GDP at market rates.

6/ (a/b/c/d/e) are the coefficients of GDP/Openness/Variability/Reserves/Population, all expressed in shares of global totals.

7/ Including Korea and Singapore.  
8/ PRGF-eligible countries.

**Table 3. Investment Income as a Proxy for IIP—Shares in Global Totals  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing		IIP 3/ 2004	Investment Income 4/ 2000-04	Investment Income 5/ 2000-04
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Five Formulas				
Advanced economies	61.6	60.5	67.1	92.7	88.4	80.9	
Major advanced economies	46.0	45.2	47.3	66.2	63.2	54.1	
Of which: US	17.4	17.1	16.8	22.0	25.1	21.5	
Other advanced economies	15.6	15.3	19.8	26.4	25.2	26.8	
Developing countries	30.9	32.1	27.6	5.5	8.7	16.4	
Africa	5.5	5.4	2.4	0.5	0.8	1.3	
Asia 6/	10.3	11.5	15.3	2.4	1.9	8.3	
Middle East, Malta & Turkey	7.6	7.6	4.7	0.6	1.1	2.2	
Western Hemisphere	7.5	7.6	5.2	2.1	5.0	4.5	
Transition economies	7.5	7.4	5.3	1.8	2.9	2.7	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Memorandum Item:							
EU 27	32.9	32.4	37.9	58.2	50.4	47.7	
LICs 7/	7.5	7.4	3.6	0.6	0.9	1.3	

Sources: Finance and Statistics Departments.

1/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

2/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

3/ Assets plus liabilities; shares of reporting countries; 73 members reporting in 2004.

4/ Investment income in the current account, adjusted for international banking interest. Investment income is for the 73 countries reporting IIP in 2004.

5/ Investment income, adjusted for international banking interest, for 177 members.

6/ Including Korea and Singapore.

7/ PRGF-eligible countries.

**Table 4. Financial Openness Variables  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/		Investment		Financial Account Flows 2000-04	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Income 3/ 2000-04	Foreign Direct Investment 4/	Portfolio Investment 5/	Other Investment 6/
	Existing Five Formulas					(FDI+PI+OI) 7/
Advanced economies	61.6	60.5	67.1	80.9	82.4	92.2
Major advanced economies	46.0	45.2	47.3	54.1	48.4	55.3
Of which: US	17.4	17.1	16.8	21.5	18.5	18.2
Other advanced economies	15.6	15.3	19.8	26.8	34.0	36.9
Developing countries	30.9	32.1	27.6	16.4	14.8	6.9
Africa	5.5	5.4	2.4	1.3	0.9	0.2
Asia 8/	10.3	11.5	15.3	8.3	8.9	4.3
Middle East, Malta & Turkey	7.6	7.6	4.7	2.2	0.9	1.4
Western Hemisphere	7.5	7.6	5.2	4.5	4.1	1.0
Transition economies	7.5	7.4	5.3	2.7	2.7	0.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Memorandum Item:						
EU 27	32.9	32.4	37.9	47.7	55.2	58.6
LICs 9/	7.5	7.4	3.6	1.3	1.2	0.2

Sources: Finance and Statistics Departments.

1/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

2/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

3/ Investment income is the average investment income in the current account, adjusted for international banking interest.

4/ This is the sum of the absolute value of assets and liabilities in the financial account of the Balance of Payments for direct investment,

5/ This is the sum of the absolute value of assets and liabilities in the financial account of the Balance of Payments for portfolio investment, including financial derivatives.

6/ This is the sum of the absolute value of assets and liabilities in the financial account of the Balance of Payments for other investment.

7/ This is the sum of the absolute value of assets and liabilities in the financial account of the Balance of Payments for direct investment (FDI), portfolio investment and financial derivatives (PI), and other investment (OI).

8/ Including Korea and Singapore.

9/ PRGF-eligible countries.

**Table 5. Simulated Quota Shares—Financial Openness**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Openness Blend 3/	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/		Traditional Openness	Openness
Advanced economies	61.6	60.5	67.1	70.8	72.3
Major advanced economies	46.0	45.2	47.3	55.3	56.0
Of which: US	17.4	17.1	16.8	23.1	23.9
Other advanced economies	15.6	15.3	19.8	15.5	16.3
Developing countries	30.9	32.1	27.6	24.5	23.4
Africa	5.5	5.4	2.4	2.2	2.1
Asia 4/	10.3	11.5	15.3	13.0	12.3
Middle East, Malta & Turkey	7.6	7.6	4.7	3.8	3.6
Western Hemisphere	7.5	7.6	5.2	5.6	5.5
Transition economies	7.5	7.4	5.3	4.6	4.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Memorandum Item:					
EU 27	32.9	32.4	37.9	33.5	34.0
LICs 5/	7.5	7.4	3.6	3.5	3.3

Source: Finance Department.

1/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

2/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

3/ Financial openness and trade openness are blended in equal proportion. Financial openness is measured as average investment income in the current account adjusted for international banking interest. Its weight in the standard openness variable is 10.9 percent. Trade openness is the average sum of current receipts and payments, excluding investment income, adjusted for re-exports and non-monetary gold.

4/ Including Korea and Singapore.

5/ PRGF-eligible countries.

**Table 6. Intra-Currency Union Trade—Openness and Variability Shares and Results of Linear Formula  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas		Openness Shares 3/ Intra Currency Union Trade		Variability Shares Including Intra Currency Union Trade 4/		Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 5/ Including Intra Currency Union Trade	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Intra Currency	Union Trade	Intra Currency	Union Trade 4/	Intra Currency	Union Trade	Intra Currency	Union Trade
Advanced economies	61.6	60.5	67.1	70.0	66.3	61.3	60.5	70.8	69.6	
Major advanced economies	46.0	45.2	47.3	49.0	48.4	43.1	42.4	55.3	55.0	
Of which: US	17.4	17.1	16.8	15.8	17.8	20.4	20.8	23.1	23.8	
Other advanced economies	15.6	15.3	19.8	21.0	17.9	18.2	18.1	15.5	14.6	
Developing countries	30.9	32.1	27.6	24.8	27.8	32.0	32.7	24.5	25.6	
Africa	5.5	5.4	2.4	2.0	2.3	4.1	4.2	2.2	2.3	
Asia 6/	10.3	11.5	15.3	13.8	15.5	13.5	13.8	13.0	13.5	
Middle East, Malta & Turkey	7.6	7.6	4.7	3.8	4.3	6.3	6.4	3.8	4.0	
Western Hemisphere	7.5	7.6	5.2	5.1	5.7	8.1	8.2	5.6	5.8	
Transition economies	7.5	7.4	5.3	5.2	5.9	6.7	6.8	4.6	4.9	
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	
Memorandum item:										
EU-12 7/	23.2	22.8	27.7	31.8	23.3	23.1	21.4	24.5	21.7	
ECCU 8/	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
CEMAC 9/	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	
WAEMU 10/	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	

Sources: Finance and Statistics Departments.

1/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

2/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

3/ Openness is defined as the sum of current receipts and current payments.

4/ Exports and imports of goods between members within the currency unions of the EU-12, ECCU, CEMAC, and WAEMU are excluded.

5/ 0.5\*GDP + 0.3\*Openness + 0.15\*Variability + 0.05\*Reserves.

6/ Including Korea and Singapore.

7/ Austria, Belgium, Germany, Finland, France, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, and Spain.

8/ Antigua and Barbuda, Dominica, Grenada, St. Kitts and Nevis, St. Lucia, and St. Vincent and the Grenadines.

9/ Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Republic of Congo, Equatorial Guinea, and Gabon.

10/ Benin, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Guinea-Bissau, Mali, Niger, Senegal, and Togo.

**Table 7. Countries Affected by Reserve Caps—Shares in Global Total 1/**

Country	Average GDP Cap 2/		Current Payments Cap 3/		M2 Cap 4/	
	Reserves Share		Country		Reserves Share	
	Uncapped	Capped	Uncapped	Capped	Uncapped	Capped
Kiribati	0.014	0.001	Kiribati	0.014	0.003	Libya
Singapore	3.212	2.200	Algeria	1.154	0.518	Botswana
Botswana	0.169	0.136	Libya	0.695	0.363	Equatorial Guinea
Libya	0.695	0.580	Japan	25.266	16.290	Lesotho
			Botswana	0.169	0.120	Bhutan
			Bhutan	0.012	0.010	Comoros
			Comoros	0.003	0.003	Yemen, Republic of
			India	3.596	3.269	Tajikistan
			Yemen, Republic of	0.165	0.168	Kyrgyz Republic
			China	15.485	16.320	Armenia
			Iran	0.926	0.991	Venezuela
			Morocco	0.452	0.528	Cambodia
					Serbia	0.028
					Singapore	3.224
					Tanzania	0.063
					Uganda	0.036
					Solomon Islands	0.002
					Guinea-Bissau	0.002
					Algeria	1.158
					Micronesia, Fed. States of	0.002
						0.002

Source: Finance Department.

1/ Reserve caps are defined as the average of reserves to a scaling variable (average GDP, current payments, M2) plus one standard deviation.

2/ Average GDP 2002–04.

3/ Average current payments 2000–04.

4/ Quarterly average of M2 for 2004. M2 is defined as the IFS category for "Money plus Quasi Money" (line 35) except for members of the euro area, for whom it is defined, on a national residency basis, as the sum of "Currency Issued" (line 34a.n), "Demand Deposits" (line 34b.n), and "Other Deposits" (line 35..n). The 12 countries for which M2 data are not available in IFS are not included.

**Table 8. Linear Formulas—Scenarios with Capped Reserves 1/2/**

	Actual Quotas 3/			Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula (5/30/15/5)	Linear Formula (5/30/15/5) with Reserves Cap Based on 5/	
	Pre Ad Hoc	Post Ad Hoc	Increase 4/			Average GDP 6/	Current Payments 7/
Advanced economies	61.6	60.5	67.1	70.8	70.8	70.5	70.8
Major advanced economies	46.0	45.2	47.3	55.3	55.3	55.0	55.3
Of which: US	17.4	17.1	16.8	23.1	23.1	23.1	23.1
Other advanced economies	15.6	15.3	19.8	15.5	15.5	15.6	15.5
Developing countries	30.9	32.1	27.6	24.5	24.5	24.7	24.5
Africa	5.5	5.4	2.4	2.2	2.2	2.1	2.2
Asia 9/	10.3	11.5	15.3	13.0	12.9	13.1	13.0
Middle East, Malta & Turkey	7.6	7.6	4.7	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.8
Western Hemisphere	7.5	7.6	5.2	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6
Transition economies	7.5	7.4	5.3	4.6	4.7	4.7	4.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Memorandum Item:							
EU 27	32.9	32.4	37.9	33.5	33.5	33.6	33.5
LICs 10/	7.5	7.4	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5

Source: Finance Department.

1/ Calculated as the sum of variable weights multiplied with a country's share in the global total of the respective variables.  
 2/ Based on 1992-2004 data. Reflects the impact of adjustments to current receipts and payments for re-exports, international banking interest, and non-monetary gold.

3/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

4/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey, also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

5/ Reserve caps are defined as the average of reserves to a scaling variable (average GDP, current payments, M2) plus one standard deviation.  
 6/ Average GDP 2002-04.

7/ Average current payments 2000-04.

8/ Quarterly average of M2 for 2004. M2 is defined as the IFS category for "Money plus Quasi Money" (line 35) except for members of the euro area, for whom it is defined, on a national residency basis, as the sum of "Currency Issued" (line 34a.n), "Demand Deposits" (line 34b.n), and "Other Deposits" (line 35..n). The 12 countries for which M2 data are not available are included with their uncapped reserves.

9/ Including Korea and Singapore.

10/ PRGF-eligible countries.

**Table 9. Linear Formulas—Scenarios Using Different Weights for GDP 1/2/  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 3/ Pre Ad Hoc Increase		Existing Five Formulas		GDP 35% Openness 35% Variability 25% Reserves 5%		GDP 35% Openness 25% Variability 35% Reserves 5%		GDP 65% Openness 20% Variability 10% Reserves 5%	
	Post Ad Hoc	Increase 4/								
Advanced economies	61.6	60.5	67.1	68.9	68.1	72.3	71.4	71.4	71.4	71.4
Major advanced economies	46.0	45.2	47.3	52.4	51.8	58.0	57.4	57.4	57.4	57.4
Of which: US	17.4	17.1	16.8	21.4	21.8	25.1	25.5	25.5	25.5	25.5
Other advanced economies	15.6	15.3	19.8	16.6	16.3	14.3	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0
Developing countries	30.9	32.1	27.6	26.0	26.7	23.4	24.1	24.1	24.1	24.1
Africa	5.5	5.4	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.2
Asia 5/	10.3	11.5	15.3	13.5	13.4	12.5	12.4	12.4	12.4	12.4
Middle East, Malta & Turkey	7.6	7.6	4.7	4.2	4.5	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.8
Western Hemisphere	7.5	7.6	5.2	5.9	6.2	5.4	5.7	5.7	5.7	5.7
Transition economies	7.5	7.4	5.3	5.1	5.2	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Memorandum Item:										
EU 27	32.9	32.4	37.9	34.1	32.8	32.2	30.9	30.9	30.9	30.9
LICs 6/	7.5	7.4	3.6	3.7	3.9	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6

Source: Finance Department.

1/ Calculated as the sum of variable weights multiplied with a country's share in the global total of the respective variables. Weights do not reflect a variable's contribution per se as correlation among variables is high.

2/ Based on 1992-2004 data. Reflects the impact of adjustments to current receipts and payments for re-exports, international banking interest, and non-monetary gold.

3/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

4/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey, also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

5/ Including Korea and Singapore.

6/ PRGF-eligible countries.

**Table 10. Scenarios Using Various Compression Factors  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/			Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula 3/ 0.8 4/	Compressed Linear Formula 0.8 4/	Compressed Linear Formula 0.85 5/
	Pre Ad Hoc Increase	Post Ad Hoc	Increase 2/				
	Advanced economies	61.6	60.5				
Major advanced economies	46.0	45.2	47.3		55.3	42.4	45.7
Of which: US	17.4	17.1	16.8		23.1	14.9	16.8
Other advanced economies	15.6	15.3	19.8		15.5	18.6	17.9
Developing countries	30.9	32.1	27.6		24.5	32.1	30.1
Africa	5.5	5.4	2.4		2.2	4.2	3.6
Asia 6/	10.3	11.5	15.3		13.0	14.5	14.1
Middle East, Malta & Turkey	7.6	7.6	4.7		3.8	5.8	5.3
Western Hemisphere	7.5	7.6	5.2		5.6	7.6	7.1
Transition economies	7.5	7.4	5.3		4.6	6.9	6.3
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	100.0
Memorandum Item:							
EU 27	32.9	32.4	37.9		33.5	34.3	34.3
LICs 7/	7.5	7.4	3.6		3.5	6.1	5.3

Source: Finance Department.

1/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

2/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey, also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

3/  $Q = 0.5^* \text{Average GDP} + 0.3^*\text{Openness} + 0.15^*\text{Varyability} + 0.05^*\text{Reserves}$ .

4/  $Q = (0.5^* \text{Average GDP} + 0.3^*\text{Openness} + 0.15^*\text{Varyability} + 0.05^*\text{Reserves})^{0.8}$ . This requires rescaling of calculated shares.

5/  $Q = (0.5^* \text{Average GDP} + 0.3^*\text{Openness} + 0.15^*\text{Varyability} + 0.05^*\text{Reserves})^{0.85}$ . This requires rescaling of calculated shares.

6/ Including Korea and Singapore.

7/ PRGF-eligible countries.

INTERNATIONAL MONETARY FUND

**A New Quota Formula—Additional Considerations—Statistical Appendix**

Prepared by the Finance Department

Approved by Michael G. Kuhn

March 14, 2007

	Contents	Page
Tables <sup>1</sup>		
1a.	Scenarios Using GDP Blends—by Member.....	2
2a.	Scenarios Using GDP Blends and Population—by Member.....	8
3a.	Investment Income as a Proxy for IIP—Shares in Global Totals—by Member .....	14
4a.	Financial Openness Variables—by Member .....	20
5a.	Simulated Quota Shares—Financial Openness—by Member .....	26
6a.	Intra-Currency Union Trade—Openness and Variability Shares and Results of Linear Formula—by Member .....	32
8a.	Linear Formulas—Scenarios with Capped Reserves—by Member .....	38
9a.	Linear Formulas—Scenarios Using Different Weights for GDP—by Member.....	44
10a.	Scenarios Using Various Compression Factors—by Member .....	50

---

<sup>1</sup> There is no individual member table associated with Table 7 of *A New Quota Formula—Additional Considerations*.

**Table 1a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends—by Member 1/  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 2/			Existing Five Formulas			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases		Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/	100/10	75/25	50/50	25/75	0/100	
	United States	17.382	17.076	16.793	23.104	21.897	20.690	19.483	
Japan	6.229	6.119	7.525	9.869	9.240	8.611	7.982	7.352	
Germany	6.087	5.979	6.952	6.966	6.705	6.445	6.184	5.924	
France	5.025	4.936	4.333	4.463	4.262	4.061	3.860	3.659	
United Kingdom	5.025	4.936	5.175	4.771	4.538	4.306	4.073	3.840	
China 6/	2.980	3.719	5.197	5.017	6.257	7.497	8.737	9.976	
Italy	3.301	3.243	3.442	3.529	3.410	3.291	3.173	3.054	
Saudi Arabia	3.269	3.211	1.063	0.724	0.720	0.716	0.713	0.709	
Canada	2.980	2.928	3.098	2.623	2.564	2.505	2.447	2.388	
Russia	2.782	2.733	1.519	1.511	1.672	1.833	1.994	2.155	
Netherlands	2.416	2.373	2.880	1.962	1.894	1.826	1.758	1.690	
Belgium	2.155	2.117	2.088	1.322	1.288	1.255	1.221	1.188	
India	1.946	1.911	1.200	1.396	1.913	2.429	2.946	3.462	
Switzerland	1.618	1.590	1.530	1.255	1.198	1.142	1.085	1.029	
Australia	1.514	1.488	1.182	1.274	1.230	1.186	1.143	1.099	
Mexico	1.210	1.449	1.928	1.937	1.940	1.943	1.946	1.949	
Spain	1.427	1.401	2.249	2.292	2.228	2.163	2.099	2.034	
Brazil	1.421	1.396	0.998	1.348	1.503	1.658	1.812	1.967	
Korea	0.764	1.346	2.508	2.179	2.178	2.177	2.175	2.174	
Venezuela	1.244	1.222	0.415	0.351	0.350	0.349	0.348	0.347	
Sweden	1.121	1.101	1.229	0.998	0.954	0.910	0.866	0.822	
Argentina	0.991	0.973	0.396	0.472	0.532	0.591	0.650	0.710	
Indonesia	0.973	0.956	0.767	0.757	0.876	0.996	1.115	1.235	
Austria	0.876	0.861	1.142	0.896	0.870	0.843	0.817	0.790	
South Africa	0.874	0.859	0.436	0.453	0.517	0.581	0.645	0.709	
Nigeria	0.820	0.806	0.309	0.232	0.249	0.265	0.281	0.297	
Norway	0.782	0.768	0.868	0.799	0.765	0.731	0.697	0.663	
Denmark	0.769	0.755	1.078	0.826	0.796	0.765	0.734	0.704	
Iran	0.701	0.688	0.404	0.379	0.444	0.509	0.574	0.640	
Malaysia	0.696	0.683	1.399	0.759	0.781	0.803	0.826	0.848	
Kuwait	0.646	0.635	0.351	0.209	0.203	0.196	0.190	0.183	
Ukraine	0.642	0.631	0.277	0.214	0.262	0.309	0.357	0.405	
Poland	0.641	0.629	0.739	0.760	0.788	0.815	0.843	0.846	
Finland	0.591	0.581	0.546	0.525	0.505	0.486	0.466	0.446	
Algeria	0.587	0.577	0.325	0.284	0.309	0.334	0.359	0.384	

**Table 1a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends—by Member 1/ (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 2/			Existing Five Formulas			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/		100/0	75/25	50/50	25/75	0/100	
Turkey	0.451	0.548	0.741	0.852	0.883	0.914	0.946	0.977	
Iraq	0.556	0.546	0.246	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	0.133	
Libya	0.526	0.517	0.228	0.160	0.165	0.171	0.176	0.181	
Thailand	0.506	0.497	0.909	0.710	0.772	0.835	0.898	0.961	
Hungary	0.486	0.477	0.468	0.364	0.372	0.379	0.386	0.394	
Pakistan	0.484	0.475	0.191	0.233	0.282	0.331	0.380	0.430	
Romania	0.482	0.474	0.207	0.203	0.222	0.240	0.258	0.277	
Egypt	0.442	0.434	0.248	0.258	0.293	0.329	0.365	0.400	
Israel	0.434	0.427	0.579	0.447	0.443	0.438	0.433	0.428	
New Zealand	0.419	0.411	0.229	0.227	0.222	0.217	0.211	0.206	
Philippines	0.412	0.404	0.504	0.363	0.420	0.478	0.535	0.592	
Portugal	0.406	0.399	0.528	0.479	0.475	0.471	0.467	0.463	
Singapore	0.404	0.396	1.922	0.996	0.988	0.981	0.973	0.966	
Chile	0.401	0.394	0.298	0.278	0.290	0.303	0.315	0.328	
Ireland	0.392	0.385	1.676	1.152	1.134	1.116	1.098	1.080	
Greece	0.385	0.378	0.456	0.529	0.522	0.516	0.510	0.503	
Czech Republic	0.383	0.377	0.538	0.427	0.435	0.443	0.451	0.460	
Colombia	0.362	0.356	0.208	0.241	0.282	0.323	0.364	0.404	
Bulgaria	0.300	0.294	0.113	0.091	0.099	0.106	0.114	0.122	
Peru	0.299	0.293	0.139	0.183	0.196	0.209	0.222	0.235	
United Arab Emirates	0.286	0.281	0.461	0.355	0.350	0.345	0.341	0.336	
Morocco	0.275	0.270	0.165	0.152	0.166	0.180	0.195	0.209	
Bangladesh	0.250	0.245	0.103	0.120	0.164	0.208	0.252	0.296	
Congo, Dem. Rep. of	0.249	0.245	0.025	0.101	0.108	0.116	0.123	0.130	
Zambia	0.229	0.225	0.022	0.020	0.021	0.021	0.022	0.023	
Serbia	0.219	0.215	0.111	0.080	0.082	0.084	0.086	0.089	
Sri Lanka	0.193	0.190	0.074	0.063	0.074	0.086	0.097	0.108	
Belarus	0.181	0.178	0.118	0.077	0.085	0.093	0.101	0.110	
Ghana	0.173	0.170	0.043	0.035	0.044	0.052	0.061	0.069	
Kazakhstan	0.171	0.168	0.164	0.133	0.146	0.159	0.172	0.185	
Croatia	0.171	0.168	0.144	0.124	0.125	0.127	0.129	0.131	
Slovak Republic	0.167	0.164	0.231	0.159	0.166	0.172	0.179	0.186	
Zimbabwe	0.165	0.162	0.026	0.030	0.033	0.036	0.039	0.042	
Trinidad and Tobago	0.157	0.154	0.062	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.045	
Vietnam	0.154	0.151	0.214	0.158	0.193	0.227	0.262	0.297	

**Table 1a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends—by Member 1/ (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 2/			Existing Five Formulas			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/	100/0	75/25	50/50	GDP Blend 5/	25/75	0/100	
Côte d'Ivoire	0.152	0.149	0.061	0.047	0.048	0.050	0.051	0.052	
Sudan	0.147	0.145	0.040	0.043	0.054	0.065	0.076	0.087	
Uruguay	0.143	0.141	0.047	0.058	0.060	0.063	0.065	0.068	
Ecuador	0.141	0.139	0.078	0.115	0.119	0.123	0.126	0.130	
Syrian Arab Republic	0.137	0.135	0.122	0.179	0.159	0.140	0.120	0.101	
Tunisia	0.134	0.132	0.105	0.090	0.099	0.108	0.116	0.125	
Angola	0.134	0.132	0.193	0.117	0.120	0.123	0.126	0.129	
Luxembourg	0.131	0.128	1.375	0.407	0.405	0.402	0.400	0.397	
Uzbekistan	0.129	0.127	0.043	0.045	0.052	0.059	0.066	0.073	
Jamaica	0.128	0.126	0.051	0.042	0.042	0.041	0.041	0.041	
Kenya	0.127	0.125	0.036	0.043	0.048	0.053	0.058	0.063	
Qatar	0.123	0.121	0.134	0.102	0.099	0.096	0.093	0.090	
Myanmar	0.121	0.119	0.032	0.035	0.049	0.064	0.079	0.094	
Yemen, Republic of	0.114	0.112	0.117	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.086	0.086	
Slovenia	0.108	0.107	0.144	0.111	0.111	0.110	0.110	0.110	
Dominican Republic	0.102	0.101	0.085	0.068	0.075	0.083	0.090	0.098	
Brunei Darussalam	0.101	0.099	0.058	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.049	0.049	
Guatemala	0.098	0.097	0.065	0.071	0.074	0.078	0.082	0.085	
Panama	0.097	0.095	0.049	0.050	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.052	
Lebanon	0.095	0.093	0.176	0.126	0.124	0.122	0.121	0.119	
Tanzania	0.093	0.091	0.033	0.034	0.036	0.037	0.039	0.041	
Oman	0.091	0.089	0.150	0.096	0.097	0.098	0.099	0.100	
Cameroon	0.087	0.085	0.033	0.039	0.043	0.048	0.052	0.056	
Uganda	0.084	0.083	0.025	0.022	0.029	0.036	0.042	0.049	
Bolivia	0.080	0.079	0.023	0.025	0.027	0.030	0.032	0.035	
El Salvador	0.080	0.079	0.053	0.048	0.050	0.051	0.053	0.054	
Jordan	0.080	0.078	0.082	0.059	0.061	0.063	0.065	0.068	
Bosnia-Herzegovina	0.079	0.078	0.066	0.042	0.045	0.047	0.049	0.052	
Costa Rica	0.077	0.075	0.084	0.066	0.070	0.073	0.077	0.080	
Islamic Republic of Afghanistan	0.076	0.074	0.042	0.034	0.038	0.042	0.046	0.050	
Senegal	0.076	0.074	0.024	0.021	0.023	0.025	0.027	0.029	
Azerbaijan	0.075	0.074	0.036	0.030	0.034	0.038	0.043	0.047	
Gabon	0.072	0.071	0.047	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030	
Georgia	0.070	0.069	0.017	0.014	0.016	0.018	0.019	0.021	
Lithuania	0.067	0.066	0.096	0.070	0.074	0.077	0.080	0.084	

**Table 1a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends—by Member 1/ (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 2/			Existing Five Formulas			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/ GDP Blend 5/		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/	100/10	75/25	50/50	25/75	0/100		
Cyprus	0.065	0.064	0.061	0.058	0.057	0.056	0.055	0.055	0.055
Namibia	0.064	0.063	0.024	0.016	0.018	0.019	0.021	0.023	0.023
Bahrain	0.063	0.062	0.144	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.083	0.083
Ethiopia	0.063	0.061	0.076	0.062	0.072	0.081	0.090	0.100	0.100
Papua New Guinea	0.062	0.060	0.028	0.019	0.021	0.023	0.024	0.026	0.026
Bahamas, The	0.061	0.060	0.029	0.021	0.021	0.020	0.020	0.019	0.019
Nicaragua	0.061	0.060	0.021	0.018	0.021	0.024	0.027	0.030	0.030
Honduras	0.061	0.060	0.037	0.029	0.031	0.033	0.035	0.037	0.037
Liberia	0.060	0.059	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
Latvia	0.059	0.058	0.053	0.043	0.044	0.046	0.048	0.050	0.050
Moldova	0.058	0.057	0.018	0.012	0.013	0.014	0.015	0.016	0.016
Madagascar	0.057	0.056	0.022	0.018	0.019	0.021	0.022	0.024	0.024
Iceland	0.055	0.054	0.035	0.036	0.034	0.033	0.031	0.030	0.030
Mozambique	0.053	0.052	0.025	0.019	0.022	0.026	0.029	0.033	0.033
Guinea	0.050	0.049	0.010	0.011	0.013	0.016	0.019	0.022	0.022
Sierra Leone	0.049	0.048	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.007
Malta	0.048	0.047	0.058	0.032	0.032	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.033
Mauritius	0.048	0.047	0.032	0.024	0.026	0.027	0.028	0.030	0.030
Paraguay	0.047	0.046	0.039	0.030	0.034	0.038	0.042	0.046	0.046
Mali	0.044	0.043	0.015	0.013	0.014	0.016	0.017	0.019	0.019
Suriname	0.043	0.042	0.009	0.006	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.008
Armenia	0.043	0.042	0.012	0.010	0.012	0.014	0.015	0.017	0.017
Guyana	0.043	0.042	0.015	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.011
Kyrgyz Republic	0.042	0.041	0.010	0.009	0.010	0.012	0.013	0.015	0.015
Cambodia	0.041	0.040	0.055	0.035	0.041	0.046	0.051	0.057	0.057
Tajikistan	0.041	0.040	0.012	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.012	0.013	0.013
Congo, Republic of	0.040	0.039	0.029	0.021	0.021	0.020	0.020	0.022	0.022
Haiti	0.038	0.038	0.014	0.012	0.015	0.017	0.019	0.022	0.022
Somalia	0.038	0.038	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Rwanda	0.037	0.037	0.007	0.007	0.009	0.011	0.013	0.015	0.015
Burundi	0.036	0.035	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.006	0.007	0.007
Turkmenistan	0.035	0.035	0.046	0.041	0.044	0.047	0.050	0.053	0.053
Togo	0.034	0.034	0.009	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.012	0.013	0.013
Nepal	0.033	0.033	0.021	0.027	0.033	0.040	0.046	0.046	0.046
Fiji	0.033	0.032	0.011	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010

**Table 1a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends—by Member 1 (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/						GDP Blend 5/
	Actual Quotas 2/		Existing Five Formulas		10/10 7/25		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/			50/50	25/75	0/100
Malawi	0.032	0.032	0.007	0.006	0.008	0.009	0.010
Macedonia, FYR	0.032	0.032	0.028	0.021	0.025	0.027	0.028
Barbados	0.032	0.031	0.015	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
Niger	0.031	0.030	0.008	0.008	0.011	0.012	0.014
Estonia	0.031	0.030	0.067	0.044	0.045	0.048	0.049
Mauritania	0.030	0.030	0.008	0.006	0.007	0.008	0.010
Botswana	0.029	0.029	0.054	0.038	0.040	0.042	0.045
Benin	0.029	0.028	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.012
Burkina Faso	0.028	0.028	0.010	0.012	0.014	0.016	0.020
Chad	0.026	0.026	0.016	0.013	0.014	0.016	0.019
Central African Republic	0.026	0.026	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.007
Lao, People's Dem. Republic	0.025	0.024	0.006	0.008	0.010	0.011	0.015
Mongolia	0.024	0.023	0.010	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.010
Swaziland	0.024	0.023	0.021	0.013	0.013	0.014	0.015
Albania	0.023	0.022	0.026	0.021	0.023	0.024	0.026
Lesotho	0.016	0.016	0.012	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.010
Equatorial Guinea	0.015	0.015	0.039	0.024	0.026	0.028	0.032
Gambia, The	0.015	0.014	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004
Montenegro	n.a.	0.013	0.008	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
Belize	0.009	0.009	0.006	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.005
San Marino	0.008	0.008	0.024	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010
Vanuatu	0.008	0.008	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Djibouti	0.007	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Eritrea	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.005	0.006	0.006	0.008
St. Lucia	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Guinea-Bissau	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Antigua and Barbuda	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Grenada	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Samoa	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002
Solomon Islands	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Cape Verde	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.005
Comoros	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Seychelles	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002

**Table 1a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends—by Member 1/ (concluded)**  
 (In percent)

	Actual Quotas 2/		Existing Five Formulas		Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/	100/0	75/25	50/50	25/75	0/100
	Dominica	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Maldives	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.005
Timor-Leste	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Sao Tome and Principe	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Tonga	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002
Bhutan	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004
Kiribati	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Micronesia, Fed. States of	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Marshall Islands	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Palau, Republic of	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

Source: Finance Department.

1/ GDP at market rates and GDP at purchasing power parity rates blended in proportion indicated.

2/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.  
 3/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

4/ 0.5\*GDP + 0.3\*Openness + 0.15\*Variability + 0.05\*Reserves.

5/ A GDP blend (x/y) is defined as x percent of GDP weighted at market exchange rates and y percent weighted at PPP-exchange rates. PPP data were retrieved from the WEO database for 176 countries. For nine countries with no WEO data, PPP GDP was estimated based on each country's share in global GDP at market rates.

6/ Includes China, P.R., and Hong Kong, SAR.

**Table 2a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends and Population—by Member 1/  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 2/		Existing Five Formulas		Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/ GDP Blend 5/		Linear Formula With Population as a Variable 6/ (45/30/15/5/5) (40/30/15/5/10)	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/	100/0	75/25	50/50	20/690	21/819	20/535
United States	17.382	17.076	16.793	23.104	21.897	20.690	21.819	20/535
Japan	6.229	6.119	7.525	9.869	9.240	8.611	9.379	8.889
Germany	6.087	5.979	6.952	6.966	6.705	6.445	6.702	6.439
France	5.025	4.936	4.333	4.463	4.262	4.061	4.269	4.076
United Kingdom	5.025	4.936	5.175	4.771	4.538	4.306	4.567	4.363
China 7/	2.980	3.719	5.197	5.017	6.257	7.497	5.827	6.637
Italy	3.301	3.243	3.442	3.529	3.410	3.291	3.378	3.226
Saudi Arabia	3.269	3.211	1.063	0.724	0.720	0.716	0.713	0.702
Canada	2.980	2.928	3.098	2.623	2.564	2.505	2.530	2.437
Russia	2.782	2.733	1.519	1.511	1.672	1.833	1.563	1.615
Netherlands	2.416	2.373	2.880	1.962	1.894	1.826	1.903	1.844
Belgium	2.155	2.117	2.088	1.322	1.288	1.255	1.288	1.254
India	1.946	1.911	1.200	1.396	1.913	2.429	2.175	2.953
Switzerland	1.618	1.590	1.530	1.255	1.198	1.142	1.217	1.179
Australia	1.514	1.488	1.182	1.274	1.230	1.186	1.218	1.162
Mexico	1.210	1.449	1.928	1.937	1.940	1.943	1.931	1.924
Spain	1.427	1.401	2.249	2.292	2.228	2.163	2.208	2.123
Brazil	1.421	1.396	0.998	1.348	1.503	1.658	1.421	1.495
Korea	0.764	1.346	2.508	2.179	2.178	2.177	2.133	2.087
Venezuela	1.244	1.222	0.415	0.351	0.350	0.349	0.359	0.367
Sweden	1.121	1.101	1.229	0.998	0.954	0.910	0.964	0.931
Argentina	0.991	0.973	0.396	0.472	0.532	0.591	0.485	0.498
Indonesia	0.973	0.956	0.767	0.757	0.876	0.996	0.899	1.041
Austria	0.876	0.861	1.142	0.896	0.870	0.843	0.868	0.840
South Africa	0.874	0.859	0.436	0.453	0.517	0.581	0.468	0.483
Nigeria	0.820	0.806	0.309	0.232	0.249	0.265	0.326	0.420
Norway	0.782	0.768	0.868	0.799	0.765	0.731	0.772	0.746
Denmark	0.769	0.755	1.078	0.826	0.796	0.765	0.802	0.778
Iran	0.701	0.688	0.404	0.379	0.444	0.509	0.415	0.450
Malaysia	0.696	0.683	1.399	0.759	0.781	0.803	0.764	0.769
Kuwait	0.646	0.635	0.351	0.209	0.203	0.196	0.205	0.201
Ukraine	0.642	0.631	0.277	0.214	0.262	0.309	0.244	0.274
Poland	0.641	0.629	0.739	0.732	0.760	0.788	0.732	0.732
Finland	0.591	0.581	0.546	0.525	0.505	0.486	0.507	0.489
Algeria	0.587	0.577	0.325	0.284	0.309	0.334	0.300	0.316

**Table 2a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends and Population—by Member 1/ (continued)**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 2/ Pre Ad Hoc Increases		Existing Five Formulas		Linear Formula (50/30/15/5)/ 4/ GDP Blend 5/ 100/0      75/25		Linear Formula With Population as a Variable 6/ (45/30/15/5) (40/30/15/10)	
	Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/							
Turkey	0.451	0.548	0.741	0.852	0.883	0.914	0.876	0.900
Iraq	0.556	0.546	0.246	0.133	0.133	0.153	0.172	
Libya	0.526	0.517	0.228	0.160	0.165	0.171	0.161	0.162
Thailand	0.506	0.497	0.909	0.710	0.772	0.835	0.740	0.771
Hungary	0.486	0.477	0.468	0.364	0.372	0.379	0.361	0.357
Pakistan	0.484	0.475	0.191	0.233	0.282	0.331	0.343	0.452
Romania	0.482	0.474	0.207	0.203	0.222	0.240	0.213	0.222
Egypt	0.442	0.434	0.248	0.258	0.283	0.329	0.304	0.350
Israel	0.434	0.427	0.579	0.447	0.443	0.438	0.437	0.427
New Zealand	0.419	0.411	0.229	0.227	0.222	0.217	0.220	0.212
Philippines	0.412	0.404	0.504	0.363	0.420	0.478	0.416	0.470
Portugal	0.406	0.399	0.528	0.479	0.475	0.471	0.468	0.456
Singapore	0.404	0.396	1.922	0.996	0.988	0.981	0.986	0.976
Chile	0.401	0.394	0.298	0.278	0.290	0.303	0.280	0.282
Ireland	0.392	0.385	1.676	1.152	1.134	1.116	1.134	1.117
Greece	0.385	0.378	0.456	0.529	0.522	0.516	0.514	0.499
Czech Republic	0.383	0.377	0.538	0.427	0.435	0.443	0.422	0.418
Colombia	0.362	0.356	0.208	0.241	0.282	0.323	0.265	0.288
Bulgaria	0.300	0.294	0.113	0.091	0.099	0.106	0.094	0.098
Peru	0.299	0.293	0.139	0.183	0.196	0.209	0.196	0.209
United Arab Emirates	0.286	0.281	0.461	0.355	0.350	0.345	0.346	0.337
Morocco	0.275	0.270	0.165	0.152	0.166	0.180	0.170	0.189
Bangladesh	0.250	0.245	0.103	0.120	0.164	0.208	0.223	0.325
Congo, Dem. Republic of	0.249	0.245	0.025	0.101	0.108	0.116	0.144	0.188
Zambia	0.229	0.225	0.022	0.020	0.021	0.021	0.028	0.037
Serbia	0.219	0.215	0.111	0.080	0.082	0.084	0.083	0.086
Sri Lanka	0.193	0.190	0.074	0.063	0.074	0.086	0.077	0.091
Belarus	0.181	0.178	0.118	0.077	0.085	0.093	0.082	0.087
Ghana	0.173	0.170	0.043	0.035	0.044	0.052	0.051	0.067
Kazakhstan	0.171	0.168	0.164	0.133	0.146	0.159	0.140	0.148
Croatia	0.171	0.168	0.144	0.124	0.125	0.127	0.123	0.123
Slovak Republic	0.167	0.164	0.231	0.159	0.166	0.172	0.159	0.159
Zimbabwe	0.165	0.162	0.026	0.030	0.033	0.036	0.038	0.046
Trinidad and Tobago	0.157	0.154	0.062	0.045	0.045	0.045	0.044	0.044
Vietnam	0.154	0.151	0.214	0.158	0.193	0.227	0.218	0.277

**Table 2a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends and Population—by Member 1/ (continued)**  
 (In percent)

	Actual Quotas 2/ Pre Ad Hoc Increases			Existing Five Formulas			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/ GDP Blend 5/ 100/0			Linear Formula With Population as a Variable 6/ (45/30/15/5) (40/30/15/5/10)		
	Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/			75/25			50/50					
Côte d'Ivoire	0.152	0.149	0.061	0.047	0.048	0.050	0.059	0.059	0.071			
Sudan	0.147	0.145	0.040	0.043	0.054	0.065	0.069	0.069	0.095			
Uruguay	0.143	0.141	0.047	0.058	0.060	0.063	0.059	0.059	0.060			
Ecuador	0.141	0.139	0.078	0.115	0.119	0.123	0.122	0.122	0.129			
Syrian Arab Republic	0.137	0.135	0.122	0.179	0.159	0.140	0.180	0.180	0.180			
Tunisia	0.134	0.132	0.105	0.090	0.099	0.108	0.095	0.095	0.099			
Angola	0.134	0.132	0.193	0.117	0.120	0.123	0.128	0.128	0.138			
Luxembourg	0.131	0.128	1.375	0.407	0.405	0.402	0.404	0.404	0.401			
Uzbekistan	0.129	0.127	0.043	0.045	0.052	0.059	0.064	0.064	0.083			
Jamaica	0.128	0.126	0.051	0.042	0.042	0.041	0.043	0.043	0.044			
Kenya	0.127	0.125	0.036	0.043	0.048	0.053	0.067	0.067	0.091			
Qatar	0.123	0.121	0.134	0.102	0.099	0.096	0.100	0.100	0.097			
Myanmar	0.121	0.119	0.032	0.035	0.049	0.064	0.072	0.072	0.110			
Yemen, Republic of	0.114	0.112	0.117	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.099	0.099	0.114			
Slovenia	0.108	0.107	0.144	0.111	0.111	0.110	0.109	0.109	0.107			
Dominican Republic	0.102	0.101	0.085	0.068	0.075	0.083	0.072	0.072	0.076			
Brunei Darussalam	0.101	0.099	0.058	0.048	0.048	0.047	0.047	0.047	0.047			
Guatemala	0.098	0.097	0.065	0.071	0.074	0.078	0.077	0.077	0.083			
Panama	0.097	0.095	0.049	0.050	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.052			
Lebanon	0.095	0.093	0.176	0.126	0.126	0.126	0.126	0.126	0.126			
Tanzania	0.093	0.091	0.033	0.034	0.036	0.037	0.062	0.062	0.090			
Oman	0.091	0.089	0.150	0.096	0.097	0.098	0.095	0.095	0.094			
Cameroon	0.087	0.085	0.033	0.039	0.043	0.048	0.050	0.050	0.061			
Uganda	0.084	0.083	0.025	0.022	0.029	0.036	0.043	0.043	0.065			
Bolivia	0.080	0.079	0.023	0.025	0.027	0.030	0.031	0.031	0.036			
El Salvador	0.080	0.079	0.053	0.048	0.050	0.051	0.051	0.051	0.054			
Jordan	0.080	0.078	0.082	0.059	0.061	0.063	0.062	0.062	0.065			
Bosnia-Herzegovina	0.079	0.078	0.066	0.042	0.045	0.047	0.044	0.044	0.046			
Costa Rica	0.077	0.075	0.084	0.066	0.070	0.073	0.067	0.067	0.068			
Islamic Republic of Afghanistan	0.076	0.074	0.042	0.034	0.038	0.042	0.052	0.052	0.070			
Senegal	0.076	0.074	0.024	0.021	0.023	0.025	0.029	0.029	0.037			
Azerbaijan	0.075	0.074	0.036	0.030	0.034	0.038	0.036	0.036	0.041			
Gabon	0.072	0.071	0.047	0.030	0.030	0.031	0.031	0.031	0.031			
Georgia	0.070	0.069	0.017	0.014	0.016	0.018	0.017	0.017	0.020			
Lithuania	0.067	0.066	0.096	0.070	0.074	0.077	0.071	0.071	0.071			

**Table 2a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends and Population—by Member 1/ (continued)**

	Actual Quotas 2/		Existing Five Formulas		Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/		Linear Formula With Population as a Variable 6/	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/	100/0	75/25	50/50	75/25	50/50	(45/30/15/5/5) (40/30/15/5/10)
Cyprus	0.065	0.064	0.061	0.058	0.057	0.056	0.056	0.055
Namibia	0.064	0.063	0.024	0.016	0.018	0.019	0.017	0.018
Bahrain	0.063	0.062	0.144	0.084	0.084	0.084	0.083	0.083
Ethiopia	0.063	0.061	0.076	0.062	0.072	0.081	0.121	0.180
Papua New Guinea	0.062	0.060	0.028	0.019	0.021	0.023	0.023	0.027
Bahamas, The	0.061	0.060	0.029	0.021	0.021	0.020	0.021	0.020
Nicaragua	0.061	0.060	0.021	0.018	0.021	0.024	0.022	0.026
Honduras	0.061	0.060	0.037	0.029	0.031	0.033	0.033	0.038
Liberia	0.060	0.059	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.007	0.010
Latvia	0.059	0.058	0.053	0.043	0.044	0.046	0.043	0.043
Moldova	0.058	0.057	0.018	0.012	0.013	0.014	0.015	0.018
Madagascar	0.057	0.056	0.022	0.018	0.019	0.021	0.031	0.045
Iceland	0.055	0.054	0.035	0.036	0.034	0.033	0.034	0.033
Mozambique	0.053	0.052	0.025	0.019	0.022	0.026	0.033	0.048
Guinea	0.050	0.049	0.010	0.011	0.013	0.016	0.017	0.024
Sierra Leone	0.049	0.048	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.008	0.012
Malta	0.048	0.047	0.058	0.032	0.032	0.033	0.032	0.032
Mauritius	0.048	0.047	0.032	0.024	0.026	0.027	0.024	0.025
Paraguay	0.047	0.046	0.039	0.030	0.034	0.038	0.034	0.038
Mali	0.044	0.043	0.015	0.013	0.014	0.016	0.023	0.032
Suriname	0.043	0.042	0.009	0.006	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007
Armenia	0.043	0.042	0.012	0.010	0.012	0.014	0.012	0.014
Guyana	0.043	0.042	0.015	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009
Kyrgyz Republic	0.042	0.041	0.010	0.009	0.010	0.012	0.012	0.016
Cambodia	0.041	0.040	0.055	0.035	0.041	0.046	0.046	0.056
Tajikistan	0.041	0.040	0.012	0.009	0.010	0.011	0.013	0.018
Congo, Republic of	0.040	0.039	0.029	0.021	0.021	0.020	0.024	0.026
Haiti	0.038	0.038	0.014	0.012	0.015	0.017	0.019	0.025
Somalia	0.038	0.038	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.008	0.015
Rwanda	0.037	0.037	0.007	0.007	0.009	0.011	0.014	0.020
Burundi	0.036	0.035	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.008	0.014
Turkmenistan	0.035	0.035	0.046	0.041	0.044	0.047	0.045	0.048
Togo	0.034	0.034	0.009	0.007	0.009	0.010	0.012	0.016
Nepal	0.033	0.033	0.021	0.027	0.033	0.041	0.041	0.061
Fiji	0.033	0.032	0.011	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009

**Table 2a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends and Population—by Member 1 (continued)**  
 (In percent)

**Table 2a. Scenarios Using GDP Blends and Population—by Member 1/ (concluded)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 2/		Existing Five Formulas		Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 4/		Linear Formula With Population as a Variable 6/	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 3/	100/0	75/25	50/50	45/30/15/5/5	40/30/15/10)	
	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Dominica	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.004
Maldives	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.005
Timor-Leste	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.005
Sao Tome and Principe	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001
Tonga	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Bhutan	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.005	0.006
Kiribati	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Micronesia, Fed. States of	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002
Marshall Islands	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Palau, Republic of	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

Source: Finance Department.

1/ GDP at market rates and GDP at purchasing power parity rates blended in proportion indicated.

2/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.  
 3/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

4/  $0.5^*GDP + 0.3^*Openness + 0.15^*Variability + 0.05^*Reserves$ .

5/ A GDP blend ( $x/y$ ) is defined as  $x$  percent of GDP weighted at market exchange rates and  $y$  percent weighted at PPP-exchange rates. PPP data were retrieved from the WEO database for 176 countries. For nine countries with no WEO data, PPP GDP was estimated based on the countries' share in global GDP at market rates.  
 6/ (a/b/c/d/e) are the coefficients of GDP/Openness/Variability/Reserves/Population, all expressed in shares of global totals.

7/ Includes China, P.R., and Hong Kong, SAR.

**Table 3a. Investment Income as a Proxy for IIP—Shares in Global Totals—by Member  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/ Pre Ad Hoc Increases		Existing Five Formulas		IIP 3/ 2004		Investment Income 4/ 2000-04		Investment Income 5/ 2000-04	
	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Increases 2/								
United States	17.382	17.076	16.793	22.042	25.072					21.475
Japan	6.229	6.119	7.525	6.420	5.625	4.818				
Germany	6.087	5.979	6.952	8.821	7.088	6.071				
France	5.025	4.936	4.333	8.428	5.088	4.358				
United Kingdom	5.025	4.936	5.175	15.167	13.291	11.384				
China 6/	2.980	3.719	5.197	n.a.	n.a.	5.379				
Italy	3.301	3.243	3.442	3.666	4.157	3.561				
Saudi Arabia	3.269	3.211	1.063	n.a.	n.a.	0.356				
Canada	2.980	2.928	3.098	1.704	2.885	2.471				
Russia	2.782	2.733	1.519	0.771	1.219	1.044				
Netherlands	2.416	2.373	2.880	4.605	4.123	3.531				
Belgium	2.155	2.117	2.088	2.831	1.287	1.103				
India	1.946	1.911	1.200	0.372	0.470	0.403				
Switzerland	1.618	1.590	1.530	3.361	3.348	2.867				
Australia	1.514	1.488	1.182	1.378	1.736	1.487				
Mexico	1.210	1.449	1.928	0.536	1.108	0.949				
Spain	1.427	1.401	2.249	n.a.	n.a.	2.662				
Brazil	1.421	1.396	0.998	0.583	1.380	1.182				
Korea	0.764	1.346	2.508	0.732	0.766	0.656				
Venezuela	1.244	1.222	0.415	0.180	0.362	0.310				
Sweden	1.121	1.101	1.229	n.a.	n.a.	1.728				
Argentina	0.991	0.973	0.396	0.297	0.929	0.796				
Indonesia	0.973	0.956	0.767	n.a.	n.a.	0.501				
Austria	0.876	0.861	1.142	1.120	1.603	1.373				
South Africa	0.874	0.859	0.436	0.274	0.437	0.374				
Nigeria	0.820	0.806	0.309	n.a.	n.a.	0.121				
Norway	0.782	0.768	0.868	n.a.	n.a.	0.810				
Denmark	0.769	0.755	1.078	0.958	1.295	1.110				
Iran	0.701	0.688	0.404	n.a.	n.a.	0.022				
Malaysia	0.696	0.683	1.399	n.a.	n.a.	0.449				
Kuwait	0.646	0.635	0.351	n.a.	n.a.	0.274				
Ukraine	0.642	0.631	0.277	0.055	0.056	0.048				
Poland	0.641	0.629	0.739	0.278	0.418	0.358				
Finland	0.591	0.581	0.546	0.736	1.036	0.887				
Algeria	0.587	0.577	0.325	n.a.	n.a.	0.118				

**Table 3a. Investment Income as a Proxy for IIP—Shares in Global Totals—by Member (continued)**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/ Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Existing Five Formulas	IIP 3/ 2004	Investment Income 4/ 2000-04	Investment Income 5/ 2000-04
Turkey	0.451	0.548	0.741	0.311	0.553	0.474
Iraq	0.556	0.546	0.246	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Libya	0.526	0.517	0.228	n.a.	n.a.	0.142
Thailand	0.506	0.497	0.909	0.195	0.390	0.334
Hungary	0.486	0.477	0.468	0.176	0.340	0.291
Pakistan	0.484	0.475	0.191	0.062	0.138	0.119
Romania	0.482	0.474	0.207	0.068	0.088	0.075
Egypt	0.442	0.434	0.248	n.a.	n.a.	0.092
Israel	0.434	0.427	0.579	0.231	0.412	0.353
New Zealand	0.419	0.411	0.229	0.207	0.318	0.272
Philippines	0.412	0.404	0.504	n.a.	n.a.	0.212
Portugal	0.406	0.399	0.528	0.698	0.731	0.627
Singapore	0.404	0.394	1.922	0.975	0.074	0.064
Chile	0.401	0.394	0.298	0.182	0.377	0.323
Ireland	0.392	0.385	1.676	3.352	4.583	3.926
Greece	0.385	0.378	0.456	0.419	0.367	0.314
Czech Republic	0.383	0.377	0.538	0.171	0.365	0.313
Colombia	0.362	0.356	0.208	0.097	0.251	0.215
Bulgaria	0.300	0.294	0.113	0.041	0.055	0.047
Peru	0.299	0.293	0.139	0.067	0.156	0.134
United Arab Emirates	0.286	0.281	0.461	n.a.	n.a.	0.076
Morocco	0.275	0.270	0.165	0.058	0.083	0.071
Bangladesh	0.250	0.245	0.103	0.025	0.024	0.020
Congo, Dem. Republic of	0.249	0.245	0.025	n.a.	n.a.	0.015
Zambia	0.229	0.225	0.022	n.a.	n.a.	0.009
Serbia	0.219	0.215	0.111	n.a.	n.a.	0.112
Sri Lanka	0.193	0.190	0.074	n.a.	n.a.	0.022
Belarus	0.181	0.178	0.118	0.009	0.006	0.005
Ghana	0.173	0.170	0.043	n.a.	n.a.	0.009
Kazakhstan	0.171	0.168	0.164	0.061	0.106	0.091
Croatia	0.171	0.168	0.144	0.060	0.080	0.068
Slovak Republic	0.167	0.164	0.231	n.a.	n.a.	0.039
Zimbabwe	0.165	0.162	0.026	n.a.	n.a.	0.013
Trinidad and Tobago	0.157	0.154	0.062	n.a.	n.a.	0.033
Vietnam	0.154	0.151	0.214	n.a.	n.a.	0.036

**Table 3a. Investment Income as a Proxy for IIP—Shares in Global Totals—by Member (continued)**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/ Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Existing Five Formulas	IIP 3/ 2004	Investment Income 4/ 2000-04	Investment Income 5/ 2000-04
Côte d'Ivoire	0.152	0.149	0.061	0.025	0.044	0.038
Sudan	0.147	0.145	0.040	n.a.	n.a.	0.036
Uruguay	0.143	0.141	0.047	0.033	0.071	0.061
Ecuador	0.141	0.139	0.078	0.034	0.081	0.069
Syrian Arab Republic	0.137	0.135	0.122	n.a.	n.a.	0.061
Tunisia	0.134	0.132	0.105	0.044	0.067	0.057
Angola	0.134	0.132	0.193	0.027	0.093	0.080
Luxembourg	0.131	0.128	1.375	6.431	4.225	3.618
Uzbekistan	0.129	0.127	0.043	n.a.	n.a.	0.016
Jamaica	0.128	0.126	0.051	n.a.	n.a.	0.038
Kenya	0.127	0.125	0.036	n.a.	n.a.	0.010
Qatar	0.123	0.121	0.134	n.a.	n.a.	0.034
Myanmar	0.121	0.119	0.032	n.a.	n.a.	0.027
Yemen, Republic of	0.114	0.112	0.117	0.013	0.063	0.054
Slovenia	0.108	0.107	0.144	n.a.	n.a.	0.044
Dominican Republic	0.102	0.101	0.085	n.a.	n.a.	0.073
Brunei Darussalam	0.101	0.099	0.058	n.a.	n.a.	0.049
Guatemala	0.098	0.097	0.065	n.a.	n.a.	0.029
Panama	0.097	0.095	0.049	0.053	0.156	0.134
Lebanon	0.095	0.093	0.176	n.a.	n.a.	0.096
Tanzania	0.093	0.091	0.033	0.014	0.009	0.008
Oman	0.091	0.089	0.150	n.a.	n.a.	0.078
Cameroon	0.087	0.085	0.033	n.a.	n.a.	0.027
Uganda	0.084	0.083	0.025	0.008	0.009	0.008
Bolivia	0.080	0.079	0.023	0.016	0.024	0.021
El Salvador	0.080	0.079	0.053	0.016	0.033	0.028
Jordan	0.080	0.078	0.082	n.a.	n.a.	0.035
Bosnia-Herzegovina	0.079	0.078	0.066	n.a.	n.a.	0.007
Costa Rica	0.077	0.075	0.084	0.014	0.072	0.061
Islamic Republic of Afghanistan	0.076	0.074	0.042	n.a.	n.a.	0.000
Senegal	0.076	0.074	0.024	n.a.	n.a.	0.009
Azerbaijan	0.075	0.074	0.036	0.019	0.026	0.022
Gabon	0.072	0.071	0.047	n.a.	n.a.	0.035
Georgia	0.070	0.069	0.017	n.a.	n.a.	0.005
Lithuania	0.067	0.066	0.096	0.023	0.036	0.031

**Table 3a. Investment Income as a Proxy for IIP—Shares in Global Totals—by Member (continued)**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	IIP 3/ 2004	Investment Income 4/ 2000-04	Investment Income 5/ 2000-04
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/				
Cyprus	0.065	0.064	0.061	0.074	0.079	0.068
Namibia	0.064	0.063	0.024	n.a.	n.a.	0.020
Bahrain	0.063	0.062	0.144	0.199	0.369	0.316
Ethiopia	0.063	0.061	0.076	n.a.	n.a.	0.003
Papua New Guinea	0.062	0.060	0.028	n.a.	n.a.	0.030
Bahamas, The	0.061	0.060	0.029	n.a.	n.a.	0.020
Nicaragua	0.061	0.060	0.021	n.a.	n.a.	0.011
Honduras	0.061	0.060	0.037	n.a.	n.a.	0.016
Liberia	0.060	0.059	0.005	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Latvia	0.059	0.058	0.053	0.026	0.029	0.025
Moldova	0.058	0.057	0.018	0.004	0.005	0.004
Madagascar	0.057	0.056	0.022	n.a.	n.a.	0.004
Iceland	0.055	0.054	0.035	0.047	0.041	0.035
Mozambique	0.053	0.052	0.025	n.a.	n.a.	0.017
Guinea	0.050	0.049	0.010	n.a.	n.a.	0.005
Sierra Leone	0.049	0.048	0.004	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Malta	0.048	0.047	0.058	0.043	0.097	0.083
Mauritius	0.048	0.047	0.032	n.a.	n.a.	0.006
Paraguay	0.047	0.046	0.039	0.007	0.016	0.014
Mali	0.044	0.043	0.015	n.a.	n.a.	0.009
Suriname	0.043	0.042	0.009	n.a.	n.a.	0.003
Amenia	0.043	0.042	0.012	0.004	0.004	0.004
Guyana	0.043	0.042	0.015	n.a.	n.a.	0.003
Kyrgyz Republic	0.042	0.041	0.010	0.004	0.004	0.004
Cambodia	0.041	0.040	0.055	0.008	0.012	0.010
Tajikistan	0.041	0.040	0.012	n.a.	n.a.	0.003
Congo, Republic of	0.040	0.039	0.029	n.a.	n.a.	0.037
Haiti	0.038	0.038	0.014	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Somalia	0.038	0.038	0.002	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Rwanda	0.037	0.037	0.007	0.002	0.001	0.001
Burundi	0.036	0.035	0.003	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Turkmenistan	0.035	0.035	0.046	n.a.	n.a.	0.015
Togo	0.034	0.034	0.009	n.a.	n.a.	0.003
Nepal	0.033	0.033	0.021	n.a.	n.a.	0.005
Fiji	0.033	0.032	0.011	n.a.	n.a.	0.004

**Table 3a. Investment Income as a Proxy for IIP—Shares in Global Totals—by Member (continued)**  
 (In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	IIP 3/ 2004	Investment Income 4/ 2000-04	Investment Income 5/ 2000-04
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/				
Malawi	0.032	0.032	0.007	n.a.	n.a.	0.003
Macedonia, FYR	0.032	0.032	0.028	n.a.	n.a.	0.006
Barbados	0.032	0.031	0.015	n.a.	n.a.	0.011
Niger	0.031	0.030	0.007	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Estonia	0.031	0.030	0.067	0.028	0.045	0.038
Mauritania	0.030	0.030	0.008	n.a.	n.a.	0.005
Botswana	0.029	0.029	0.054	n.a.	n.a.	0.044
Benin	0.029	0.028	0.009	n.a.	n.a.	0.003
Burkina Faso	0.028	0.028	0.010	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Chad	0.026	0.026	0.016	n.a.	n.a.	0.016
Central African Republic	0.026	0.026	0.003	n.a.	n.a.	0.000
Lao, People's Dem. Republic	0.025	0.024	0.006	n.a.	n.a.	0.003
Mongolia	0.024	0.024	0.023	0.010	n.a.	0.002
Swaziland	0.023	0.023	0.021	0.004	0.011	0.009
Albania	0.023	0.022	0.026	n.a.	n.a.	0.004
Lesotho	0.016	0.016	0.012	n.a.	n.a.	0.003
Equatorial Guinea	0.015	0.015	0.039	n.a.	n.a.	0.058
Gambia, The	0.015	0.014	0.003	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Montenegro	n.a.	0.013	0.008	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Belize	0.009	0.009	0.006	n.a.	n.a.	0.004
San Marino	0.008	0.008	0.024	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Vanuatu	0.008	0.008	0.003	n.a.	n.a.	0.002
Djibouti	0.007	0.007	0.003	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Eritrea	0.007	0.007	0.008	n.a.	n.a.	0.000
St. Lucia	0.007	0.007	0.004	n.a.	n.a.	0.002
Guinea-Bissau	0.007	0.007	0.004	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Antigua and Barbuda	0.006	0.006	0.006	n.a.	n.a.	0.002
Grenada	0.005	0.005	0.003	n.a.	n.a.	0.002
Samoa	0.005	0.005	0.002	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Solomon Islands	0.005	0.005	0.003	n.a.	n.a.	0.000
Cape Verde	0.004	0.004	0.004	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Comoros	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.003	n.a.	0.000
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.005	n.a.	0.002
Seychelles	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.002	n.a.	0.003
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.004	0.004	0.002	n.a.	n.a.	0.001

**Table 3a. Investment Income as a Proxy for IIP—Shares in Global Totals—by Member (concluded)**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	IIP 3/ 2004	Investment Income 4/ 2000-04	Investment Income 5/ 2000-04
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/				
Dominica	0.004	0.004	0.002	n.a.	n.a.	0.001
Maldives	0.004	0.004	0.006	n.a.	n.a.	0.002
Timor-Leste	0.004	0.004	0.006	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Sao Tome and Principe	0.003	0.003	0.001	n.a.	n.a.	0.000
Tonga	0.003	0.003	0.001	n.a.	n.a.	0.000
Bhutan	0.003	0.003	0.004	n.a.	n.a.	0.003
Kiribati	0.003	0.003	0.003	n.a.	n.a.	0.002
Micronesia, Fed. States of	0.002	0.002	0.002	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Marshall Islands	0.002	0.002	0.001	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Palau, Republic of	0.001	0.002	0.002	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Sources: Finance and Statistics Departments.

1/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

2/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

3/ Assets plus liabilities; shares of reporting countries; 73 members reporting in 2004.

4/ Investment income is for the 73 countries reporting IIP in 2004. Investment income in the current account, adjusted for international banking interest.

5/ Investment income, adjusted for international banking interest, for 177 members.

6/ Includes China, P.R., and Hong Kong, SAR.

**Table 4a. Financial Openness Variables—by Member  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	Investment Income 3/ 2000-04	Financial Account Flows 2000-04		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/			Foreign Direct Investment 4/	Portfolio Investment 5/	Other Investment 6/ (FDI+PI+OI) 7/
United States	17.382	17.076	16.793	21.475	18.494	18.167	17.593 18.031
Japan	6.229	6.119	7.525	4.818	2.285	10.705	2.972 6.038
Germany	6.087	5.979	6.952	6.071	5.354	6.724	6.715 6.424
France	5.025	4.936	4.333	4.358	7.653	8.008	3.954 6.470
United Kingdom	5.025	4.936	5.175	11.384	9.374	7.466	26.050 14.574
China 8/	2.980	3.719	5.197	5.379	6.015	2.835	3.637 3.814
Italy	3.301	3.243	3.442	3.561	1.775	2.910	1.203 2.049
Saudi Arabia	3.269	3.211	1.063	0.356	0.039	0.368	0.424 0.317
Canada	2.980	2.928	3.098	2.471	3.430	1.287	0.515 1.474
Russia	2.782	2.733	1.519	1.044	0.715	0.193	0.766 0.513
Netherlands	2.416	2.373	2.880	3.531	4.444	10.183	3.569 6.555
Belgium	2.155	2.117	2.088	1.103	2.722	1.009	3.841 2.401
India	1.946	1.911	1.200	0.403	0.364	0.106	0.235 0.206
Switzerland	1.618	1.590	1.530	2.867	1.924	1.141	2.724 1.881
Australia	1.514	1.488	1.182	1.487	1.672	1.537	0.373 1.147
Mexico	1.210	1.449	1.928	0.949	1.229	0.137	0.370 0.458
Spain	1.427	1.401	2.249	2.662	4.227	3.343	2.244 3.139
Brazil	1.421	1.396	0.998	1.182	1.339	0.206	0.420 0.529
Korea	0.764	1.346	2.508	0.656	0.520	0.622	0.381 0.513
Venezuela	1.244	1.222	0.415	0.310	0.193	0.056	0.217 0.144
Sweden	1.121	1.101	1.229	1.728	1.629	2.675	0.844 1.788
Argentina	0.991	0.973	0.396	0.796	0.269	0.206	0.301 0.254
Indonesia	0.973	0.956	0.767	0.501	0.153	0.057	0.107 0.096
Austria	0.876	0.861	1.142	1.373	0.635	1.372	0.889 1.038
South Africa	0.874	0.859	0.436	0.374	0.194	0.146	0.120 0.147
Nigeria	0.820	0.806	0.309	0.121	0.092	0.012	0.264 0.120
Norway	0.782	0.768	0.868	0.810	0.406	1.065	1.087 0.930
Denmark	0.769	0.755	1.078	1.110	1.326	0.759	0.553 0.808
Iran	0.701	0.688	0.404	0.022	0.002	0.070	0.360 0.160
Malaysia	0.696	0.683	1.399	0.449	0.251	0.111	0.240 0.188
Kuwait	0.646	0.635	0.351	0.274	0.087	0.302	0.112 0.187
Ukraine	0.642	0.631	0.277	0.048	0.059	0.033	0.149 0.081
Poland	0.641	0.629	0.739	0.358	0.428	0.161	0.195 0.231
Finland	0.591	0.581	0.546	0.887	0.841	0.770	0.566 0.712
Algeria	0.587	0.577	0.325	0.118	0.044	n.a.	0.090 0.042

**Table 4a. Financial Openness Variables—by Member (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	Investment Income 3/ 2000-04	Financial Account Flows 2000-04		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/			Foreign Direct Investment 4/	Portfolio Investment 5/	Other Investment 6/ (FDI+PI+OI) 7/
Turkey	0.451	0.548	0.741	0.474	0.147	0.151	0.342
Iraq	0.556	0.546	0.246	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Libya	0.526	0.517	0.228	0.142	0.026	0.018	0.043
Thailand	0.506	0.497	0.909	0.334	0.146	0.041	0.324
Hungary	0.486	0.477	0.468	0.291	0.233	0.187	0.146
Pakistan	0.484	0.475	0.191	0.119	0.037	0.009	0.044
Romania	0.482	0.474	0.207	0.075	0.129	0.013	0.087
Egypt	0.442	0.434	0.248	0.092	0.047	0.017	0.141
Israel	0.434	0.427	0.579	0.353	0.303	0.146	0.136
New Zealand	0.419	0.411	0.229	0.272	0.204	0.127	0.076
Philippines	0.412	0.404	0.504	0.212	0.073	0.053	0.068
Portugal	0.406	0.399	0.528	0.627	0.637	0.851	0.772
Singapore	0.404	0.396	1.922	0.064	1.234	0.439	0.920
Chile	0.401	0.394	0.298	0.323	0.364	0.169	0.088
Ireland	0.392	0.385	1.676	3.926	1.589	6.668	3.327
Greece	0.385	0.378	0.456	0.314	0.124	0.644	0.380
Czech Republic	0.383	0.377	0.538	0.313	0.320	0.125	0.104
Colombia	0.362	0.356	0.208	0.215	0.162	0.106	0.046
Bulgaria	0.300	0.294	0.113	0.047	0.086	0.010	0.043
Peru	0.299	0.293	0.139	0.134	0.084	0.041	0.027
United Arab Emirates	0.286	0.281	0.461	0.076	0.282	0.235	0.294
Morocco	0.275	0.270	0.165	0.071	0.082	0.003	0.033
Bangladesh	0.250	0.245	0.103	0.020	0.013	0.000	0.043
Congo, Dem. Republic of	0.249	0.245	0.025	0.015	0.012	0.007	0.010
Zambia	0.229	0.225	0.022	0.009	0.007	0.000	0.012
Serbia	0.219	0.215	0.111	0.112	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Sri Lanka	0.193	0.190	0.074	0.022	0.012	0.004	0.020
Belarus	0.181	0.178	0.118	0.005	0.012	0.001	0.018
Ghana	0.173	0.170	0.043	0.009	0.007	0.002	0.011
Kazakhstan	0.171	0.168	0.164	0.091	0.166	0.040	0.113
Croatia	0.171	0.168	0.144	0.068	0.093	0.032	0.098
Slovak Republic	0.167	0.164	0.231	0.039	0.133	0.027	0.048
Zimbabwe	0.165	0.162	0.026	0.013	0.001	0.001	0.001
Trinidad and Tobago	0.157	0.154	0.062	0.033	0.053	0.006	0.025
Vietnam	0.154	0.151	0.214	0.036	0.080	n.a.	0.052

**Table 4a. Financial Openness Variables—by Member (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	Investment Income 3/ 2000-04	Financial Account Flows 2000-04		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/			Foreign Direct Investment 4/	Portfolio Investment 5/	Other Investment 6/
							(FDI+PI+OI) 7/
Côte d'Ivoire	0.152	0.149	0.061	0.038	0.012	0.002	0.016
Sudan	0.147	0.145	0.040	0.036	0.051	0.001	0.018
Uruguay	0.143	0.141	0.047	0.061	0.018	0.016	0.046
Ecuador	0.141	0.139	0.078	0.069	0.069	0.011	0.069
Syrian Arab Republic	0.137	0.135	0.122	0.061	0.010	n.a.	0.063
Tunisia	0.134	0.132	0.105	0.057	0.036	0.000	0.047
Angola	0.134	0.132	0.193	0.080	0.111	0.000	0.056
Luxembourg	0.131	0.128	1.375	3.618	11.226	4.507	3.235
Uzbekistan	0.129	0.127	0.043	0.016	0.004	n.a.	0.010
Jamaica	0.128	0.126	0.051	0.038	0.038	0.028	0.032
Kenya	0.127	0.125	0.036	0.010	0.003	0.001	0.015
Qatar	0.123	0.121	0.134	0.034	0.027	0.014	0.079
Myanmar	0.121	0.119	0.032	0.027	0.012	n.a.	0.004
Yemen, Republic of	0.114	0.112	0.117	0.054	0.006	0.000	0.006
Slovenia	0.108	0.107	0.144	0.044	0.055	0.010	0.074
Dominican Republic	0.102	0.101	0.085	0.073	0.050	0.009	0.036
Brunei Darussalam	0.101	0.099	0.058	0.049	0.007	0.002	0.040
Guatemala	0.098	0.097	0.065	0.029	0.013	0.005	0.041
Panama	0.097	0.095	0.049	0.134	0.034	0.020	0.091
Lebanon	0.095	0.093	0.176	0.096	0.138	0.051	0.094
Tanzania	0.093	0.091	0.033	0.008	0.027	0.000	0.009
Oman	0.091	0.089	0.150	0.078	0.014	0.003	0.034
Cameroon	0.087	0.085	0.033	0.027	0.008	0.001	0.017
Uganda	0.084	0.083	0.025	0.008	0.010	0.000	0.005
Bolivia	0.080	0.079	0.023	0.021	0.028	0.001	0.017
El Salvador	0.080	0.079	0.053	0.028	0.019	0.014	0.024
Jordan	0.080	0.078	0.082	0.035	0.024	0.010	0.034
Bosnia-Herzegovina	0.079	0.078	0.066	0.007	0.017	n.a.	0.028
Costa Rica	0.077	0.075	0.084	0.061	0.034	0.004	0.015
Islamic Republic of Afghanistan	0.076	0.074	0.042	0.000	0.005	n.a.	0.001
Senegal	0.076	0.074	0.024	0.009	0.004	0.001	0.008
Azerbaijan	0.075	0.074	0.036	0.022	0.121	0.000	0.021
Gabon	0.072	0.071	0.047	0.035	0.007	0.000	0.020
Georgia	0.070	0.069	0.017	0.005	0.014	0.000	0.004
Lithuania	0.067	0.066	0.096	0.031	0.032	0.013	0.026

**Table 4a. Financial Openness Variables—by Member (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/			Investment Income 3/ 2000-04			Financial Account Flows 2000-04		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases		Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Existing Five Formulas	Foreign Direct Investment 4/	Portfolio Investment 5/	Other	(FDI+PI+OI) 7/	
	Increases	Increases							
Cyprus	0.065	0.064	0.061	0.068	0.079	0.052	0.117	0.081	
Namibia	0.064	0.063	0.024	0.020	0.013	0.007	0.017	0.012	
Bahrain	0.063	0.062	0.144	0.316	0.046	0.093	1.021	0.417	
Ethiopia	0.063	0.061	0.076	0.003	0.006	n.a.	0.019	0.008	
Papua New Guinea	0.062	0.060	0.028	0.030	0.005	0.003	0.005	0.004	
Bahamas, The	0.061	0.060	0.029	0.020	0.011	n.a.	1.972	0.713	
Nicaragua	0.061	0.060	0.021	0.011	0.012	0.000	0.013	0.007	
Honduras	0.061	0.060	0.037	0.016	0.014	0.000	0.007	0.006	
Liberia	0.060	0.059	0.005	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Latvia	0.059	0.058	0.053	0.025	0.022	0.009	0.069	0.033	
Moldova	0.058	0.057	0.018	0.004	0.007	0.001	0.002	0.003	
Madagascar	0.057	0.056	0.022	0.004	0.003	n.a.	0.007	0.003	
Iceland	0.055	0.054	0.035	0.035	0.060	0.098	0.056	0.074	
Mozambique	0.053	0.052	0.025	0.017	0.015	0.001	0.014	0.008	
Guinea	0.050	0.049	0.010	0.005	0.002	0.000	0.003	0.001	
Sierra Leone	0.049	0.048	0.004	0.001	0.001	n.a.	0.002	0.001	
Malta	0.048	0.047	0.058	0.083	0.036	0.049	0.066	0.053	
Mauritius	0.048	0.047	0.032	0.006	0.005	0.002	0.011	0.006	
Paraguay	0.047	0.046	0.039	0.014	0.004	0.000	0.007	0.003	
Mali	0.044	0.043	0.015	0.009	0.008	0.001	0.009	0.005	
Suriname	0.043	0.042	0.009	0.003	0.004	n.a.	0.002	0.002	
Armenia	0.043	0.042	0.012	0.004	0.007	0.000	0.007	0.004	
Guyana	0.043	0.042	0.015	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.002	
Kyrgyz Republic	0.042	0.041	0.010	0.004	0.003	0.001	0.004	0.002	
Cambodia	0.041	0.040	0.055	0.010	0.008	0.000	0.012	0.006	
Tajikistan	0.041	0.040	0.012	0.003	0.006	0.000	0.003	0.002	
Congo, Republic of	0.040	0.039	0.029	0.037	0.011	0.000	0.016	0.008	
Haiti	0.038	0.038	0.014	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.003	0.001	
Somalia	0.038	0.038	0.002	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Rwanda	0.037	0.037	0.007	0.001	0.000	n.a.	0.002	0.001	
Burundi	0.036	0.035	0.003	0.001	0.000	n.a.	0.002	0.001	
Turkmenistan	0.035	0.035	0.046	0.015	0.013	n.a.	0.021	0.010	
Togo	0.034	0.034	0.009	0.003	0.003	0.000	0.003	0.002	
Nepal	0.033	0.033	0.021	0.005	0.000	n.a.	0.013	0.005	
Fiji	0.033	0.032	0.011	0.004	0.003	n.a.	0.003	0.002	

**Table 4a. Financial Openness Variables—by Member (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	Investment Income 3/ 2000-04	Financial Account Flows 2000-04		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/			Foreign Direct Investment 4/	Portfolio Investment 5/	Other Investment 6/ (FDI+PI+OI) 7/
Malawi	0.032	0.032	0.007	0.003	0.001	n.a.	0.006
Macedonia, FYR	0.032	0.032	0.028	0.006	0.011	0.000	0.005
Barbados	0.032	0.031	0.015	0.011	0.002	0.003	0.005
Niger	0.031	0.030	0.007	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.004
Estonia	0.031	0.030	0.067	0.038	0.044	0.019	0.025
Mauritania	0.030	0.030	0.008	0.005	0.007	n.a.	0.003
Botswana	0.029	0.029	0.054	0.044	0.022	0.009	0.010
Benin	0.029	0.028	0.009	0.003	0.003	0.000	0.004
Burkina Faso	0.028	0.028	0.010	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.003
Chad	0.026	0.026	0.016	0.016	0.032	n.a.	0.011
Central African Republic	0.026	0.026	0.003	0.000	n.a.	0.001	0.000
Lao, People's Dem. Republic	0.025	0.024	0.006	0.003	0.002	n.a.	0.003
Mongolia	0.024	0.023	0.010	0.002	0.004	0.001	0.005
Swaziland	0.024	0.023	0.021	0.009	0.004	0.000	0.004
Albania	0.023	0.022	0.026	0.004	0.011	0.001	0.007
Lesotho	0.016	0.016	0.012	0.003	0.006	n.a.	0.001
Equatorial Guinea	0.015	0.015	0.039	0.058	0.050	n.a.	0.003
Gambia, The	0.015	0.014	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.002
Montenegro	n.a.	0.013	0.008	0.001	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Belize	0.009	0.009	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.004
San Marino	0.008	0.008	0.024	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Vanuatu	0.008	0.008	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.002
Djibouti	0.007	0.007	0.003	0.001	0.001	n.a.	0.001
Eritrea	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.000	0.002	n.a.	0.003
St. Lucia	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.002
Guinea-Bissau	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
Antigua and Barbuda	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.001
Grenada	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.001
Samoa	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.000	n.a.	0.000
Solomon Islands	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.000	0.000	n.a.	0.000
Cape Verde	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.002
Comoros	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.000	0.000	n.a.	0.000
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.005	0.001	0.001
Seychelles	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.000	0.002
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.001

**Table 4a. Financial Openness Variables—by Member (concluded)**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/			Investment Income 3/ 2000-04			Financial Account Flows 2000-04		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases		Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Existing Five Formulas	Foreign Investment 4/	Direct Investment 5/	Portfolio Investment 6/	Other	(FDI+PI+OI) 7/
	Actual Quotas 1/	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Existing Five Formulas	Investment Income 3/ 2000-04	Foreign Investment 4/	Direct Investment 5/	Portfolio Investment 6/	Other
Dominica	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.001	0.001
Maldives	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.002	0.001	n.a.	n.a.	0.002	0.001
Timor-Leste	0.004	0.004	0.006	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Sao Tome and Principe	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.000	n.a.	0.000	0.000	0.000
Tonga	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.000	n.a.	n.a.	0.000	0.000	0.000
Bhutan	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.000	0.003	0.001	0.003	0.001
Kiribati	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.000	n.a.	0.000	0.000
Micronesia, Fed. States of	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Marshall Islands	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Palau, Republic of	0.001	0.001	0.002	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Sources: Finance and Statistics Departments.

1/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

2/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

3/ Investment income is the average investment income in the current account, adjusted for international banking interest.

4/ This is the sum of the absolute value of assets and liabilities in the financial account of the Balance of Payments for direct investment.

5/ This is the sum of the absolute value of assets and liabilities in the financial account of the Balance of Payments for portfolio investment, including financial derivatives.

6/ This is the sum of the absolute value of assets and liabilities in the financial account of the Balance of Payments for other investment.

7/ This is the sum of the absolute value of assets and liabilities in the financial account of the Balance of Payments for direct investment (FDI), portfolio investment and financial derivatives (PI), and other investment (OI).

8/ Includes China, P.R., and Hong Kong, SAR.

**Table 5a. Simulated Quota Shares—Financial Openness—by Member  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/ Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/		Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Traditional Openness		Openness Blend 3/
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/		Traditional Openness	Openness Blend 3/	
United States	17.382	17.076	16.793	23.104	23.857	
Japan	6.229	6.119	7.525	9.869	9.765	
Germany	6.087	5.979	6.952	6.966	6.630	
France	5.025	4.936	4.333	4.463	4.369	
United Kingdom	5.025	4.936	5.175	4.771	5.446	
China 4/	2.980	3.719	5.197	5.017	5.061	
Italy	3.301	3.243	3.442	3.529	3.462	
Saudi Arabia	3.269	3.211	1.063	0.724	0.658	
Canada	2.980	2.928	3.098	2.623	2.489	
Russia	2.782	2.733	1.519	1.511	1.468	
Netherlands	2.416	2.373	2.880	1.962	1.982	
Belgium	2.155	2.117	2.088	1.322	1.157	
India	1.946	1.911	1.200	1.396	1.316	
Switzerland	1.618	1.590	1.530	1.255	1.407	
Australia	1.514	1.488	1.182	1.274	1.317	
Mexico	1.210	1.449	1.928	1.937	1.790	
Spain	1.427	1.401	2.249	2.292	2.293	
Brazil	1.421	1.396	0.998	1.348	1.385	
Korea	0.764	1.346	2.508	2.179	1.960	
Venezuela	1.244	1.222	0.415	0.351	0.353	
Sweden	1.121	1.101	1.229	0.998	1.044	
Argentina	0.991	0.973	0.396	0.472	0.526	
Indonesia	0.973	0.956	0.767	0.757	0.727	
Austria	0.876	0.861	1.142	0.896	0.891	
South Africa	0.874	0.859	0.436	0.453	0.441	
Nigeria	0.820	0.806	0.309	0.232	0.220	
Norway	0.782	0.768	0.868	0.799	0.794	
Denmark	0.769	0.755	1.078	0.826	0.838	
Iran	0.701	0.688	0.404	0.379	0.338	
Malaysia	0.696	0.683	1.399	0.759	0.665	
Kuwait	0.646	0.635	0.351	0.209	0.214	
Ukraine	0.642	0.631	0.277	0.185	0.185	
Poland	0.641	0.629	0.739	0.732	0.680	
Finland	0.591	0.581	0.546	0.525	0.557	
Algeria	0.587	0.325	0.284	0.284	0.272	

**Table 5a. Simulated Quota Shares—Financial Openness—by Member (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Traditional Openness	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/		Openness Blend 3/	Openness Blend 3/
Turkey	0.451	0.548	0.741	0.852	0.819
Iraq	0.556	0.546	0.246	0.133	0.084
Libya	0.526	0.517	0.228	0.160	0.162
Thailand	0.506	0.497	0.909	0.710	0.633
Hungary	0.486	0.477	0.468	0.364	0.333
Pakistan	0.484	0.475	0.191	0.233	0.224
Romania	0.482	0.474	0.207	0.203	0.184
Egypt	0.442	0.434	0.248	0.258	0.238
Israel	0.434	0.427	0.579	0.447	0.421
New Zealand	0.419	0.411	0.229	0.227	0.229
Philippines	0.412	0.404	0.504	0.363	0.328
Portugal	0.406	0.399	0.528	0.479	0.484
Singapore	0.404	0.396	1.922	0.996	0.834
Chile	0.401	0.394	0.298	0.278	0.281
Ireland	0.392	0.385	1.676	1.152	1.460
Greece	0.385	0.378	0.456	0.529	0.504
Czech Republic	0.383	0.377	0.538	0.427	0.393
Colombia	0.362	0.356	0.208	0.241	0.243
Bulgaria	0.300	0.294	0.113	0.091	0.082
Peru	0.299	0.293	0.139	0.183	0.184
United Arab Emirates	0.286	0.281	0.461	0.355	0.313
Morocco	0.275	0.270	0.165	0.152	0.139
Bangladesh	0.250	0.245	0.103	0.120	0.108
Congo, Dem. Republic of	0.249	0.245	0.025	0.101	0.100
Zambia	0.229	0.225	0.022	0.020	0.019
Serbia	0.219	0.215	0.111	0.080	0.085
Sri Lanka	0.193	0.190	0.074	0.063	0.055
Belarus	0.181	0.178	0.118	0.077	0.063
Ghana	0.173	0.170	0.043	0.035	0.031
Kazakhstan	0.171	0.168	0.164	0.133	0.125
Croatia	0.171	0.168	0.144	0.124	0.113
Slovak Republic	0.167	0.164	0.231	0.159	0.135
Zimbabwe	0.165	0.162	0.026	0.030	0.028
Trinidad and Tobago	0.157	0.154	0.062	0.045	0.042
Vietnam	0.154	0.151	0.214	0.158	0.131

**Table 5a. Simulated Quota Shares—Financial Openness—by Member (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Openness Blend 3/	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/		Traditional Openness	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Openness Blend 3/
Côte d'Ivoire	0.152	0.149	0.061	0.047	0.044
Sudan	0.147	0.145	0.040	0.043	0.043
Uruguay	0.143	0.141	0.047	0.058	0.060
Ecuador	0.141	0.139	0.078	0.115	0.113
Syrian Arab Republic	0.137	0.135	0.122	0.179	0.176
Tunisia	0.134	0.132	0.105	0.090	0.082
Angola	0.134	0.132	0.193	0.117	0.115
Luxembourg	0.131	0.128	1.375	0.407	0.779
Uzbekistan	0.129	0.127	0.043	0.045	0.042
Jamaica	0.128	0.126	0.051	0.042	0.040
Kenya	0.127	0.125	0.036	0.043	0.039
Qatar	0.123	0.121	0.134	0.102	0.091
Myanmar	0.121	0.119	0.032	0.035	0.034
Yemen, Republic of	0.114	0.112	0.117	0.085	0.085
Slovenia	0.108	0.107	0.144	0.111	0.097
Dominican Republic	0.102	0.101	0.085	0.068	0.065
Brunei Darussalam	0.101	0.099	0.058	0.048	0.048
Guatemala	0.098	0.097	0.065	0.071	0.065
Panama	0.097	0.095	0.049	0.050	0.061
Lebanon	0.095	0.093	0.176	0.126	0.122
Tanzania	0.093	0.091	0.033	0.034	0.031
Oman	0.091	0.089	0.150	0.096	0.090
Cameroon	0.087	0.085	0.033	0.039	0.039
Uganda	0.084	0.083	0.025	0.022	0.021
Bolivia	0.080	0.079	0.023	0.025	0.024
El Salvador	0.080	0.079	0.053	0.048	0.045
Jordan	0.080	0.078	0.082	0.059	0.053
Bosnia-Herzegovina	0.079	0.078	0.066	0.042	0.036
Costa Rica	0.077	0.075	0.084	0.066	0.063
Islamic Republic of Afghanistan	0.076	0.074	0.042	0.034	0.031
Senegal	0.076	0.074	0.024	0.021	0.019
Azerbaijan	0.075	0.074	0.036	0.030	0.028
Gabon	0.072	0.071	0.047	0.030	0.031
Georgia	0.070	0.069	0.017	0.014	0.013
Lithuania	0.067	0.066	0.096	0.070	0.062

**Table 5a. Simulated Quota Shares—Financial Openness—by Member (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Openness Blend 3/	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/		Traditional Openness	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Openness Blend 3/
Cyprus	0.065	0.064	0.061	0.058	0.058
Namibia	0.064	0.063	0.024	0.016	0.015
Bahrain	0.063	0.062	0.144	0.084	0.111
Ethiopia	0.063	0.061	0.076	0.062	0.059
Papua New Guinea	0.062	0.060	0.028	0.019	0.020
Bahamas, The	0.061	0.060	0.029	0.021	0.020
Nicaragua	0.061	0.060	0.021	0.018	0.017
Honduras	0.061	0.060	0.037	0.029	0.026
Liberia	0.060	0.059	0.005	0.005	0.003
Latvia	0.059	0.058	0.053	0.043	0.038
Moldova	0.058	0.057	0.018	0.012	0.010
Madagascar	0.057	0.056	0.022	0.018	0.016
Iceland	0.055	0.054	0.035	0.036	0.035
Mozambique	0.053	0.052	0.025	0.019	0.018
Guinea	0.050	0.049	0.010	0.011	0.010
Sierra Leone	0.049	0.048	0.004	0.004	0.004
Malta	0.048	0.047	0.058	0.032	0.037
Mauritius	0.048	0.047	0.032	0.024	0.021
Paraguay	0.047	0.046	0.039	0.030	0.028
Mali	0.044	0.043	0.015	0.013	0.012
Suriname	0.043	0.042	0.009	0.006	0.006
Armenia	0.043	0.042	0.012	0.010	0.009
Guyana	0.043	0.042	0.015	0.008	0.008
Kyrgyz Republic	0.042	0.041	0.010	0.009	0.008
Cambodia	0.041	0.040	0.055	0.035	0.032
Tajikistan	0.041	0.040	0.012	0.009	0.007
Congo, Republic of	0.040	0.039	0.029	0.021	0.023
Haiti	0.038	0.038	0.014	0.012	0.011
Somalia	0.038	0.038	0.002	0.001	0.001
Rwanda	0.037	0.037	0.007	0.007	0.006
Burundi	0.036	0.035	0.003	0.003	0.003
Turkmenistan	0.035	0.035	0.046	0.041	0.038
Togo	0.034	0.034	0.009	0.007	0.007
Nepal	0.033	0.033	0.021	0.021	0.019
Fiji	0.033	0.032	0.011	0.009	0.008

**Table 5a. Simulated Quota Shares—Financial Openness—by Member (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/ Pre Ad Hoc Increases		Existing Five Formulas		Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Traditional Openness	
	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Increases 2/			Openness Blend 3/	
Malawi	0.032	0.032	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.006
Macedonia, FYR	0.032	0.032	0.028	0.021	0.019	0.019
Barbados	0.032	0.031	0.015	0.013	0.012	0.012
Niger	0.031	0.030	0.007	0.008	0.007	0.007
Estonia	0.031	0.030	0.067	0.044	0.040	0.040
Mauritania	0.030	0.030	0.008	0.006	0.006	0.006
Botswana	0.029	0.029	0.054	0.038	0.039	0.039
Benin	0.029	0.028	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009
Burkina Faso	0.028	0.028	0.010	0.012	0.011	0.011
Chad	0.026	0.026	0.016	0.013	0.014	0.014
Central African Republic	0.026	0.026	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.004
Lao, People's Dem. Republic	0.025	0.024	0.006	0.008	0.007	0.007
Mongolia	0.024	0.023	0.010	0.007	0.006	0.006
Swaziland	0.024	0.023	0.021	0.013	0.011	0.011
Albania	0.023	0.022	0.026	0.021	0.019	0.019
Lesotho	0.016	0.016	0.012	0.007	0.006	0.006
Equatorial Guinea	0.015	0.015	0.039	0.024	0.027	0.027
Gambia, The	0.015	0.014	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002
Montenegro	n.a.	0.013	0.008	0.006	0.005	0.005
Belize	0.009	0.009	0.006	0.004	0.004	0.004
San Marino	0.008	0.008	0.024	0.010	0.005	0.005
Vanuatu	0.008	0.008	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002
Djibouti	0.007	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002
Eritrea	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.005	0.004	0.004
St. Lucia	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003
Guinea-Bissau	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003
Antigua and Barbuda	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003
Grenada	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002
Samoa	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001
Solomon Islands	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002
Cape Verde	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003
Comoros	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002
Seychelles	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.004
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002

**Table 5a. Simulated Quota Shares—Financial Openness—by Member (concluded)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases			Traditional Openness	Openness Blend 3/	
	Ad Hoc Increases	Ad Hoc Increases		Ad Hoc Increases	Ad Hoc Increases	
Dominica	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.001	
Maldives	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.003	
Timor-Leste	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.002	
Sao Tome and Principe	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.000	
Tonga	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	
Bhutan	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.003	
Kiribati	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	
Micronesia, Fed. States of	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	
Marshall Islands	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.000	
Palau, Republic of	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	

Source: Finance Department.

1/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

2/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

3/ Financial openness and trade openness are blended in equal proportion. Financial openness is measured as average investment income in the current account adjusted for international banking interest. Its weight in the standard openness variable is 10.9 percent. Trade openness is the average sum of current receipts and payments, excluding investment income, adjusted for re-exports and non-monetary gold.

4/ Includes China, P.R., and Hong Kong, SAR.

**Table 6a. Intra-Currency Union Trade—Openness and Variability Shares and Results of Linear Formula—by Member (In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/			Existing Five Formulas			Openness Shares 3/			Variability Shares			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 5/			
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases		Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Intra Currency Union Trade	Intra Currency Union Trade	Intra Currency Union Trade	Including Intra Currency Union Trade		Excluding Intra Currency Union Trade		Including Intra Currency Union Trade		Excluding Intra Currency Union Trade			
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Formulas	Union Trade	Union Trade	Union Trade	Union Trade	Union Trade	Union Trade	Union Trade	Union Trade	Union Trade	Union Trade	Union Trade	Union Trade	
United States	17.382	17.076	16.793	15.796	17.753	20.371	20.813	23.104	23.757	6.229	6.119	7.525	6.319	6.870	9.869	
Japan	6.087	5.979	6.952	8.644	6.738	6.681	5.598	6.966	10.100	5.025	4.936	4.333	3.495	3.131	4.735	6.232
Germany	5.025	4.936	5.175	6.274	7.052	2.083	2.128	4.771	5.011	5.025	4.936	5.175	6.274	7.052	4.463	5.926
France	5.025	4.936	5.175	6.274	7.052	2.083	2.128	4.771	5.011	5.025	4.936	5.175	6.274	7.052	4.463	5.926
United Kingdom	5.280	3.719	5.197	5.057	5.683	3.027	3.092	5.017	5.215	3.201	3.243	3.442	4.077	3.096	3.529	3.240
China 6/	3.269	3.211	1.063	0.858	0.964	0.877	0.896	0.724	0.758	2.980	3.098	3.496	3.929	2.245	2.294	2.623
Italy	2.980	2.928	3.098	3.496	3.929	2.245	2.294	2.623	2.760	2.782	2.733	1.519	1.370	1.539	2.328	1.569
Saudi Arabia	2.782	2.733	1.519	1.370	1.539	2.278	2.328	1.511	1.569	2.416	2.373	2.880	3.389	2.262	1.293	1.962
Canada	2.416	2.373	2.880	3.389	2.262	1.381	1.293	1.962	1.611	2.155	2.117	2.088	2.359	1.108	1.286	1.322
Russia	2.155	2.117	2.088	2.359	1.108	1.188	1.188	1.322	0.961	1.946	1.911	1.200	1.019	1.146	0.804	1.396
Netherlands	1.946	1.911	1.200	1.019	1.146	0.787	0.804	1.396	1.437	1.618	1.590	1.530	1.712	1.924	1.517	1.255
Belgium	1.618	1.590	1.530	1.712	1.924	1.485	1.485	1.517	1.323	1.514	1.488	1.182	1.162	1.306	1.077	1.274
India	1.514	1.488	1.182	1.162	1.306	1.054	1.077	1.274	1.320	1.210	1.449	1.928	2.073	2.329	2.174	1.937
Switzerland	1.488	1.449	1.928	2.073	2.329	2.174	2.174	1.937	2.021	1.427	1.401	2.249	2.664	1.960	1.983	2.292
Australia	1.427	1.401	2.249	2.664	1.960	1.908	1.908	2.292	2.092	1.421	1.396	0.998	0.903	1.015	1.919	1.388
Mexico	1.396	1.346	0.998	0.903	1.015	1.878	1.878	1.348	1.323	0.764	0.744	2.508	2.328	2.616	2.552	2.179
Spain	1.346	1.346	2.508	2.328	2.616	2.498	2.498	2.179	2.274	1.244	1.222	0.415	0.299	0.336	0.699	0.351
Brazil	1.244	1.222	0.415	0.299	0.336	0.684	0.684	0.351	0.365	1.121	1.101	1.229	1.385	1.557	0.965	0.998
Korea	1.121	1.101	1.229	1.385	1.557	0.945	1.040	1.063	1.053	1.091	0.973	0.396	0.384	0.432	1.040	0.490
Venezuela	0.874	0.859	0.436	0.465	0.523	0.502	0.513	0.453	0.472	0.973	0.956	0.767	0.729	0.819	1.134	0.788
Sweden	0.820	0.806	0.309	0.211	0.238	0.498	0.509	0.232	0.242	0.820	0.782	0.768	0.851	0.956	1.206	0.835
Argentina	0.782	0.768	0.868	0.851	0.956	1.180	1.180	0.799	0.788	0.971	0.701	0.688	0.404	0.336	0.379	0.392
Indonesia	0.769	0.755	1.078	1.022	1.149	1.177	1.202	1.206	0.868	0.696	0.683	1.399	1.166	1.311	1.213	0.806
Austria	0.876	0.861	1.142	1.413	0.997	0.760	0.590	0.896	0.746	0.876	0.876	0.861	0.861	0.861	0.413	0.571
South Africa	0.874	0.859	0.436	0.465	0.523	0.502	0.513	0.453	0.472	0.646	0.635	0.351	0.240	0.270	0.422	0.220
Nigeria	0.820	0.806	0.309	0.211	0.238	0.498	0.509	0.232	0.242	0.820	0.782	0.768	0.851	0.956	1.040	0.490
Norway	0.782	0.768	0.868	0.851	0.956	1.180	1.180	0.799	0.835	0.701	0.688	0.404	0.336	0.377	0.379	0.392
Denmark	0.769	0.755	1.078	1.022	1.149	1.177	1.202	1.206	0.868	0.696	0.683	1.399	1.166	1.311	1.213	0.806
Iran	0.701	0.688	0.404	0.336	0.377	0.296	0.302	0.302	0.392	0.581	0.591	0.546	0.641	0.551	0.571	0.487
Malaysia	0.696	0.683	1.399	1.166	1.311	1.187	1.187	1.213	0.759	0.587	0.577	0.325	0.204	0.229	0.478	0.298
Kuwait	0.646	0.635	0.351	0.240	0.270	0.413	0.422	0.209	0.220	0.642	0.631	0.277	0.306	0.314	0.321	0.225
Ukraine	0.629	0.629	0.739	0.757	0.850	0.971	0.992	0.214	0.225	0.641	0.641	0.581	0.641	0.551	0.571	0.763
Poland	0.621	0.621	0.739	0.757	0.850	0.971	0.992	0.214	0.225	0.591	0.591	0.581	0.641	0.551	0.571	0.525
Finland	0.581	0.581	0.404	0.336	0.377	0.296	0.302	0.302	0.392	0.587	0.577	0.325	0.204	0.229	0.478	0.298
Algeria	0.587	0.577	0.325	0.204	0.229	0.413	0.422	0.209	0.220	0.587	0.577	0.325	0.204	0.229	0.478	0.298

**Table 6a. Intra-Currency Union Trade—Openness and Variability Shares and Results of Linear Formula—by Member (continued)**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/			Existing			Openness Shares 3/			Variability Shares			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 5/		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases		Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Five Formulas	Intra Currency Union Trade		Intra Currency Union Trade 4/		Intra Currency Union Trade	Including		Excluding	Intra Currency Union Trade		Intra Currency Union Trade 4/
	Increases	Increases													
Turkey	0.451	0.548	0.741	0.723	0.813	1.677	1.713	0.852	0.884						
Iraq	0.556	0.546	0.246	0.164	0.185	0.348	0.355	0.133	0.141						
Libya	0.526	0.517	0.228	0.131	0.147	0.345	0.353	0.160	0.166						
Thailand	0.506	0.497	0.909	0.916	1.030	1.133	1.157	0.710	0.747						
Hungary	0.486	0.477	0.468	0.526	0.591	0.481	0.491	0.364	0.385						
Pakistan	0.484	0.475	0.191	0.185	0.208	0.249	0.255	0.233	0.240						
Romania	0.482	0.474	0.207	0.221	0.248	0.271	0.277	0.203	0.212						
Egypt	0.442	0.434	0.248	0.240	0.269	0.356	0.364	0.258	0.268						
Israel	0.434	0.427	0.579	0.554	0.623	0.585	0.598	0.447	0.470						
New Zealand	0.419	0.411	0.229	0.256	0.288	0.240	0.245	0.227	0.237						
Philippines	0.412	0.404	0.504	0.481	0.541	0.580	0.592	0.363	0.383						
Portugal	0.406	0.399	0.528	0.590	0.387	0.628	0.616	0.479	0.417						
Singapore	0.404	0.396	1.922	1.294	1.454	2.105	2.151	0.996	1.051						
Chile	0.401	0.394	0.298	0.294	0.331	0.383	0.392	0.278	0.290						
Ireland	0.392	0.385	1.676	1.591	1.524	3.064	2.935	1.152	1.113						
Greece	0.385	0.378	0.456	0.504	0.442	0.928	0.982	0.529	0.518						
Czech Republic	0.383	0.377	0.538	0.573	0.644	0.595	0.607	0.427	0.450						
Colombia	0.362	0.356	0.208	0.205	0.230	0.285	0.291	0.241	0.250						
Bulgaria	0.300	0.294	0.113	0.112	0.126	0.129	0.132	0.091	0.095						
Peru	0.299	0.293	0.139	0.126	0.142	0.286	0.292	0.188	0.183						
United Arab Emirates	0.286	0.281	0.461	0.392	0.441	0.585	0.598	0.355	0.372						
Morocco	0.275	0.270	0.165	0.168	0.189	0.131	0.134	0.152	0.158						
Bangladesh	0.250	0.245	0.103	0.112	0.126	0.069	0.070	0.120	0.124						
Congo, Dem. Republic of	0.249	0.245	0.025	0.022	0.025	0.575	0.587	0.101	0.104						
Zambia	0.229	0.225	0.022	0.019	0.021	0.051	0.052	0.020	0.021						
Serbia	0.219	0.215	0.111	0.071	0.080	0.162	0.166	0.080	0.083						
Sri Lanka	0.193	0.190	0.074	0.083	0.093	0.066	0.068	0.063	0.066						
Belarus	0.181	0.178	0.118	0.113	0.127	0.112	0.115	0.077	0.081						
Ghana	0.173	0.170	0.043	0.042	0.047	0.066	0.067	0.035	0.037						
Kazakhstan	0.171	0.168	0.164	0.149	0.168	0.235	0.240	0.133	0.139						
Croatia	0.171	0.168	0.144	0.151	0.170	0.179	0.183	0.124	0.130						
Slovak Republic	0.167	0.164	0.231	0.224	0.252	0.183	0.186	0.159	0.168						
Zimbabwe	0.165	0.162	0.026	0.025	0.028	0.014	0.015	0.030	0.031						
Trinidad and Tobago	0.157	0.154	0.062	0.054	0.060	0.068	0.070	0.045	0.047						
Vietnam	0.154	0.151	0.214	0.241	0.271	0.114	0.116	0.158	0.167						

**Table 6a. Intra-Currency Union Trade—Openness and Variability Shares and Results of Linear Formula—by Member (continued)**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing		Openness Shares 3/		Variability Shares		Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 5/	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Five Formulas	Intra Currency Union Trade	Excluding Intra Currency Union Trade 4/	Including Intra Currency Union Trade	Excluding Intra Currency Union Trade	Including Intra Currency Union Trade	Excluding Intra Currency Union Trade	Intra Currency Union Trade 4/
Côte d'Ivoire	0.152	0.149	0.061	0.060	0.064	0.050	0.049	0.047	0.048	0.048
Sudan	0.147	0.145	0.040	0.039	0.044	0.041	0.042	0.043	0.045	0.045
Uruguay	0.143	0.141	0.047	0.041	0.046	0.167	0.171	0.058	0.060	0.060
Ecuador	0.141	0.139	0.078	0.088	0.099	0.362	0.370	0.115	0.120	0.120
Syrian Arab Republic	0.137	0.135	0.122	0.082	0.092	0.102	0.105	0.179	0.182	0.182
Tunisia	0.134	0.132	0.105	0.122	0.137	0.097	0.100	0.090	0.095	0.095
Angola	0.134	0.132	0.193	0.097	0.109	0.449	0.459	0.117	0.122	0.122
Luxembourg	0.131	0.128	1.375	0.793	0.743	0.881	0.896	0.407	0.394	0.394
Uzbekistan	0.129	0.127	0.043	0.040	0.045	0.109	0.112	0.045	0.047	0.047
Jamaica	0.128	0.126	0.051	0.056	0.062	0.073	0.075	0.042	0.044	0.044
Kenya	0.127	0.125	0.036	0.039	0.044	0.056	0.057	0.043	0.044	0.044
Qatar	0.123	0.121	0.134	0.122	0.137	0.194	0.198	0.102	0.107	0.107
Myanmar	0.121	0.119	0.032	0.031	0.035	0.052	0.053	0.035	0.036	0.036
Yemen, Republic of	0.114	0.112	0.117	0.055	0.062	0.295	0.302	0.085	0.088	0.088
Slovenia	0.108	0.107	0.144	0.153	0.172	0.096	0.099	0.111	0.117	0.117
Dominican Republic	0.102	0.101	0.085	0.094	0.105	0.087	0.089	0.068	0.072	0.072
Brunel Darussalam	0.101	0.099	0.058	0.048	0.055	0.172	0.175	0.048	0.050	0.050
Guatemala	0.098	0.097	0.065	0.069	0.078	0.073	0.075	0.071	0.074	0.074
Panama	0.097	0.095	0.049	0.054	0.061	0.099	0.101	0.050	0.053	0.053
Lebanon	0.095	0.093	0.176	0.121	0.136	0.278	0.284	0.126	0.131	0.131
Tanzania	0.093	0.091	0.033	0.029	0.032	0.052	0.053	0.034	0.035	0.035
Oman	0.091	0.089	0.150	0.120	0.135	0.159	0.162	0.096	0.101	0.101
Cameroon	0.087	0.085	0.033	0.033	0.036	0.056	0.057	0.039	0.040	0.040
Uganda	0.084	0.083	0.025	0.019	0.022	0.040	0.040	0.022	0.023	0.023
Bolivia	0.080	0.079	0.023	0.025	0.028	0.031	0.032	0.025	0.026	0.026
El Salvador	0.080	0.079	0.053	0.054	0.060	0.056	0.057	0.048	0.050	0.050
Jordan	0.080	0.078	0.082	0.079	0.089	0.088	0.089	0.059	0.062	0.062
Bosnia-Herzegovina	0.079	0.078	0.066	0.052	0.058	0.093	0.095	0.042	0.044	0.044
Costa Rica	0.077	0.075	0.084	0.088	0.099	0.087	0.089	0.066	0.070	0.070
Islamic Republic of Afghanistan	0.076	0.074	0.042	0.024	0.027	0.120	0.123	0.034	0.035	0.035
Senegal	0.076	0.074	0.024	0.025	0.026	0.019	0.020	0.021	0.022	0.022
Azerbaijan	0.075	0.074	0.036	0.037	0.042	0.050	0.051	0.030	0.032	0.032
Gabon	0.072	0.071	0.047	0.032	0.036	0.076	0.078	0.030	0.032	0.032
Georgia	0.070	0.069	0.017	0.017	0.019	0.023	0.024	0.014	0.015	0.015
Lithuania	0.067	0.066	0.096	0.091	0.103	0.085	0.086	0.070	0.074	0.074

**Table 6a. Intra-Currency Union Trade—Openness and Variability Shares and Results of Linear Formula—by Member (continued)**  
 (In percent)

**Table 6a. Intra-Currency Union Trade—Openness and Variability Shares and Results of Linear Formula—by Member (continued)**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/			Existing			Openness Shares 3/			Variability Shares			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 5/		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases		Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Five Formulas	Including		Excluding	Intra	Currency	Intra	Currency	Union	Trade	Intra	Currency
					Intra	Currency	Intra	Currency	Union	Trade	Intra	Currency	Union	Trade	Intra Currency Union Trade
Malawi	0.032	0.032	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.026	0.029	0.019	0.016	0.014	0.054	0.053	0.009	0.009	0.006
Macedonia, FYR	0.032	0.032	0.028	0.015	0.017	0.017	0.019	0.016	0.016	0.014	0.044	0.040	0.040	0.040	0.022
Barbados	0.032	0.031	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.013	0.013	0.008
Niger	0.031	0.030	0.067	0.071	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.079	0.053	0.054	0.054	0.047	0.047	
Estonia															
Mauritania	0.030	0.030	0.008	0.007	0.008	0.007	0.008	0.014	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.015	0.006
Botswana	0.029	0.029	0.054	0.040	0.045	0.045	0.066	0.068	0.068	0.068	0.068	0.068	0.038	0.038	0.040
Benin	0.029	0.028	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.009	0.009	0.010
Burkina Faso	0.028	0.028	0.010	0.010	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.017	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.012	0.012	
Chad	0.026	0.026	0.016	0.013	0.014	0.014	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.033	0.033	0.033	0.013	0.013	0.014
Central African Republic	0.026	0.026	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.004	0.004	
Lao, People's Dem. Republic	0.025	0.024	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.007	0.015	0.015	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.008	0.008	
Mongolia	0.024	0.023	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.007	0.007	0.008
Swaziland	0.024	0.023	0.021	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.013	0.013	0.013
Albania	0.023	0.022	0.026	0.022	0.022	0.022	0.025	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.021	0.022	
Lesotho	0.016	0.016	0.012	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.007	0.007	
Equatorial Guinea	0.015	0.015	0.039	0.035	0.040	0.040	0.050	0.052	0.052	0.052	0.052	0.052	0.024	0.024	
Gambia, The	0.015	0.014	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.002	
Montenegro	n.a.	0.013	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.011	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.006	0.006	0.007
Belize	0.009	0.009	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.004	0.005
San Marino	0.008	0.008	0.024	0.018	0.020	0.020	0.022	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.010	0.011	
Vanuatu	0.008	0.008	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.002	
Djibouti	0.007	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003
Eritrea	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.005	0.005	
St. Lucia	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	
Guinea-Bissau	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.003	0.003	
Antigua and Barbuda	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.004
Grenada	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.002	
Samoa	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.001	0.001	
Solomon Islands	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.002	0.002	
Cape Verde	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.004	
Comoros	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	
Seychelles	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.004	0.004	
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	

**Table 6a. Intra-Currency Union Trade—Openness and Variability Shares and Results of Linear Formula—by Member (concluded)**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/			Existing Five			Openness Shares 3/			Variability Shares			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) 5/							
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases 2/		Post Ad Hoc Increases 2/	Including Formulas		Intra Currency Union Trade	Excluding Formulas		Intra Currency Union Trade 4/	Including Formulas		Intra Currency Union Trade	Excluding Formulas		Intra Currency Union Trade 4/	Including Formulas		Intra Currency Union Trade	Excluding Formulas	
Dominica	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
Maldives	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.003	
Timor-Leste	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Sao Tome and Principe	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
Tonga	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
Bhutan	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	
Kiribati	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	
Micronesia, Fed. States of Marshall Islands	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.002	
Palau, Republic of	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	

Sources: Finance and Statistics Departments.

1/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

2/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

3/ Openness is defined as the sum of current receipts and current payments.

4/ Exports and imports of goods between members within the currency unions of the EU-12, ECCU, CEMAC, and WAEEMU are excluded.

5/ 0.5\*GDP + 0.3\*Openness + 0.15\*Variability + 0.05\*Reserves

6/ Includes China, P.R., and Hong Kong, SAR.

**Table 8a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios with Capped Reserves—by Member 1/**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 3/			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Reserves Cap Based on 5/				
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases	Increases 4/	Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5)	Average GDP 6/	Payments 7/	M2 8/
United States	17.382	17.076	16.793	23.104	23.128	23.105	23.106	23.106
Japan	6.229	6.119	7.525	9.869	9.885	9.421	9.886	9.886
Germany	6.087	5.979	6.952	6.966	6.967	6.981	6.967	6.967
France	5.025	4.936	4.333	4.463	4.464	4.473	4.464	4.464
United Kingdom	5.025	4.936	5.175	4.771	4.772	4.783	4.772	4.772
China 9/	2.980	3.719	5.197	5.017	5.027	5.059	5.027	5.027
Italy	3.301	3.243	3.442	3.529	3.530	3.538	3.530	3.530
Saudi Arabia	3.269	3.211	1.063	0.724	0.724	0.730	0.724	0.724
Canada	2.980	2.928	3.098	2.623	2.624	2.633	2.624	2.624
Russia	2.782	2.733	1.519	1.511	1.512	1.536	1.513	1.513
Netherlands	2.416	2.373	2.880	1.962	1.963	1.966	1.963	1.963
Belgium	2.155	2.117	2.088	1.322	1.322	1.325	1.322	1.322
India	1.946	1.911	1.200	1.396	1.399	1.380	1.399	1.399
Switzerland	1.618	1.590	1.530	1.255	1.256	1.269	1.256	1.256
Australia	1.514	1.488	1.182	1.274	1.274	1.283	1.274	1.274
Mexico	1.210	1.449	1.928	1.937	1.939	1.955	1.939	1.939
Spain	1.427	1.401	2.249	2.292	2.293	2.296	2.293	2.293
Brazil	1.421	1.396	0.998	1.348	1.349	1.362	1.349	1.349
Korea	0.764	1.346	2.508	2.179	2.182	2.227	2.183	2.183
Venezuela	1.244	1.222	0.415	0.351	0.352	0.357	0.345	0.345
Sweden	1.121	1.101	1.229	0.998	0.999	1.004	0.999	0.999
Argentina	0.991	0.973	0.396	0.472	0.473	0.477	0.473	0.473
Indonesia	0.973	0.956	0.767	0.757	0.757	0.767	0.758	0.758
Austria	0.876	0.861	1.142	0.896	0.896	0.898	0.896	0.896
South Africa	0.874	0.859	0.436	0.453	0.453	0.455	0.453	0.453
Malaysia	0.820	0.806	0.309	0.232	0.233	0.236	0.233	0.233
Nigeria	0.782	0.768	0.868	0.799	0.800	0.810	0.800	0.800
Norway	0.769	0.755	1.078	0.826	0.827	0.837	0.827	0.827
Denmark	0.701	0.688	0.404	0.379	0.380	0.382	0.380	0.380
Iran	0.696	0.683	1.399	0.759	0.760	0.774	0.760	0.760
Kuwait	0.646	0.635	0.351	0.209	0.209	0.211	0.209	0.209
Ukraine	0.642	0.631	0.277	0.214	0.214	0.217	0.214	0.214
Poland	0.641	0.629	0.739	0.732	0.733	0.742	0.733	0.733
Finland	0.591	0.581	0.546	0.525	0.525	0.528	0.525	0.525
Algeria	0.587	0.577	0.325	0.284	0.284	0.252	0.283	0.283

**Table 8a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios with Capped Reserves—by Member 1/ 2/ (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 3/ Pre Ad Hoc Increases			Existing Five Formulas			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5)			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Reserves Cap Based on 5/ Average GDP 6/ Payments 7/			Current Payments 7/ M2 8/		
	Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/	Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/	Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/												
Turkey	0.451	0.548	0.741				0.852	0.852	0.861						0.852
Iraq	0.556	0.546	0.246				0.133	0.133	0.134						0.133
Libya	0.526	0.517	0.228				0.160	0.155	0.144						0.136
Thailand	0.506	0.497	0.909				0.710	0.710	0.722						0.710
Hungary	0.486	0.477	0.468				0.364	0.364	0.368						0.364
Pakistan	0.484	0.475	0.191				0.233	0.233	0.236						0.233
Romania	0.482	0.474	0.207				0.203	0.204	0.206						0.204
Egypt	0.442	0.434	0.248				0.258	0.258	0.261						0.258
Israel	0.434	0.427	0.579				0.447	0.448	0.455						0.448
New Zealand	0.419	0.411	0.229				0.227	0.227	0.228						0.227
Philippines	0.412	0.404	0.504				0.363	0.363	0.367						0.363
Portugal	0.406	0.399	0.528				0.479	0.479	0.481						0.479
Singapore	0.404	0.396	1.922				0.996	0.996	1.025						0.976
Chile	0.401	0.394	0.298				0.278	0.278	0.282						0.278
Ireland	0.392	0.385	1.676				1.152	1.152	1.153						1.152
Greece	0.385	0.378	0.456				0.529	0.529	0.530						0.529
Czech Republic	0.383	0.377	0.538				0.427	0.427	0.434						0.427
Colombia	0.362	0.356	0.208				0.241	0.241	0.245						0.242
Bulgaria	0.300	0.294	0.113				0.091	0.091	0.093						0.091
Peru	0.299	0.293	0.139				0.183	0.183	0.186						0.183
United Arab Emirates	0.286	0.281	0.461				0.355	0.355	0.360						0.355
Morocco	0.275	0.270	0.165				0.152	0.152	0.156						0.152
Bangladesh	0.250	0.245	0.103				0.120	0.120	0.121						0.120
Congo, Dem. Republic of	0.249	0.245	0.025				0.101	0.101	0.101						0.101
Zambia	0.229	0.225	0.022				0.020	0.020	0.020						0.020
Serbia	0.219	0.215	0.111				0.080	0.080	0.081						0.079
Sri Lanka	0.193	0.190	0.074				0.063	0.063	0.064						0.063
Belarus	0.181	0.178	0.118				0.077	0.077	0.077						0.077
Ghana	0.173	0.170	0.043				0.035	0.035	0.036						0.035
Kazakhstan	0.171	0.168	0.164				0.133	0.133	0.134						0.133
Croatia	0.171	0.168	0.144				0.124	0.124	0.126						0.124
Slovak Republic	0.167	0.164	0.231				0.159	0.159	0.162						0.159
Zimbabwe	0.165	0.162	0.026				0.030	0.030	0.030						0.030
Trinidad and Tobago	0.157	0.154	0.062				0.045	0.045	0.045						0.045
Vietnam	0.154	0.151	0.214				0.158	0.158	0.160						0.158

**Table 8a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios with Capped Reserves—by Member 1/ 2/ (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 3/			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Reserves Cap Based on 5/			
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/	Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5)	Average GDP 6/	Current Payments 7/	M2 8/
Côte d'Ivoire	0.152	0.149	0.061	0.047	0.047	0.047	0.047
Sudan	0.147	0.145	0.040	0.043	0.044	0.044	0.044
Uruguay	0.143	0.141	0.047	0.058	0.058	0.058	0.058
Ecuador	0.141	0.139	0.078	0.115	0.116	0.116	0.116
Syrian Arab Republic	0.137	0.135	0.122	0.179	0.179	0.179	0.179
Tunisia	0.134	0.132	0.105	0.090	0.090	0.091	0.091
Angola	0.134	0.132	0.193	0.117	0.118	0.118	0.117
Luxembourg	0.131	0.128	1.375	0.407	0.407	0.407	0.407
Uzbekistan	0.129	0.127	0.043	0.045	0.045	0.046	0.045
Jamaica	0.128	0.126	0.051	0.042	0.042	0.042	0.042
Kenya	0.127	0.125	0.036	0.043	0.043	0.043	0.043
Qatar	0.123	0.121	0.134	0.102	0.102	0.103	0.102
Myanmar	0.121	0.119	0.032	0.035	0.035	0.035	0.035
Yemen, Republic of	0.114	0.112	0.117	0.085	0.085	0.085	0.082
Slovenia	0.108	0.107	0.144	0.111	0.111	0.113	0.111
Dominican Republic	0.102	0.101	0.085	0.068	0.068	0.068	0.068
Brunei Darussalam	0.101	0.099	0.058	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Guatemala	0.098	0.097	0.065	0.071	0.071	0.072	0.071
Panama	0.097	0.095	0.049	0.050	0.050	0.050	0.050
Lebanon	0.095	0.093	0.176	0.126	0.126	0.129	0.126
Tanzania	0.093	0.091	0.033	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034
Oman	0.091	0.089	0.150	0.096	0.096	0.097	0.096
Cameroon	0.087	0.085	0.033	0.039	0.039	0.039	0.039
Uganda	0.084	0.083	0.025	0.022	0.022	0.023	0.022
Bolivia	0.080	0.079	0.023	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025
El Salvador	0.080	0.079	0.053	0.048	0.048	0.048	0.048
Jordan	0.080	0.078	0.082	0.059	0.059	0.060	0.059
Bosnia-Herzegovina	0.079	0.078	0.066	0.042	0.042	0.043	0.042
Costa Rica	0.077	0.075	0.084	0.066	0.066	0.067	0.066
Islamic Republic of Afghanistan	0.076	0.074	0.042	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034
Senegal	0.076	0.074	0.024	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021
Azerbaijan	0.075	0.074	0.036	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030
Gabon	0.072	0.071	0.047	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030
Georgia	0.070	0.069	0.017	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014
Lithuania	0.067	0.066	0.096	0.070	0.070	0.071	0.070

**Table 8a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios with Capped Reserves—by Member 1/ 2/ (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 3/ Pre Ad Hoc Increases			Existing Five Formulas			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5)			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Reserves Cap Based on 5/ Average GDP 6/ Payments 7/ M2 8/		
	Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/	Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/	Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/									
Cyprus	0.065	0.064	0.061				0.058			0.058		0.058
Namibia	0.064	0.063	0.024				0.016			0.016		0.016
Bahrain	0.063	0.062	0.144				0.084			0.085		0.084
Ethiopia	0.063	0.061	0.076				0.062			0.063		0.062
Papua New Guinea	0.062	0.060	0.028				0.019			0.019		0.019
Bahamas, The	0.061	0.060	0.029				0.021			0.022		0.021
Nicaragua	0.061	0.060	0.021				0.018			0.019		0.019
Honduras	0.061	0.060	0.037				0.029			0.029		0.029
Liberia	0.060	0.059	0.005				0.005			0.005		0.005
Latvia	0.059	0.058	0.053				0.043			0.043		0.043
Moldova	0.058	0.057	0.018				0.012			0.012		0.012
Madagascar	0.057	0.056	0.022				0.018			0.018		0.018
Iceland	0.056	0.054	0.035				0.036			0.036		0.036
Mozambique	0.053	0.052	0.025				0.019			0.019		0.019
Guinea	0.050	0.049	0.010				0.011			0.011		0.011
Sierra Leone	0.049	0.048	0.004				0.004			0.004		0.004
Malta	0.048	0.047	0.058				0.032			0.032		0.032
Mauritius	0.048	0.047	0.032				0.024			0.024		0.024
Paraguay	0.047	0.046	0.039				0.030			0.030		0.030
Mali	0.044	0.043	0.015				0.013			0.013		0.013
Suriname	0.043	0.042	0.009				0.006			0.006		0.006
Armenia	0.043	0.042	0.012				0.010			0.010		0.010
Guyana	0.043	0.042	0.015				0.008			0.008		0.008
Kyrgyz Republic	0.042	0.041	0.010				0.009			0.009		0.008
Cambodia	0.041	0.040	0.055				0.035			0.035		0.035
Tajikistan	0.041	0.040	0.012				0.009			0.009		0.009
Congo, Republic of	0.040	0.039	0.029				0.021			0.021		0.021
Haiti	0.038	0.038	0.014				0.012			0.012		0.012
Somalia	0.038	0.038	0.002				0.001			0.001		0.001
Rwanda	0.037	0.037	0.007				0.007			0.007		0.007
Burundi	0.036	0.035	0.003				0.003			0.003		0.003
Turkmenistan	0.035	0.035	0.046				0.041			0.042		0.041
Togo	0.034	0.034	0.009				0.007			0.008		0.007
Nepal	0.033	0.033	0.021				0.021			0.021		0.021
Fiji	0.033	0.032	0.011				0.009			0.009		0.009

**Table 8a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios with Capped Reserves—by Member 1/ 2/ (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 3/			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Reserves Cap Based on 5/			
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/	Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5)	Average GDP 6/	Current Payments 7/	M2 8/
Malawi	0.032	0.032	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
Macedonia, FYR	0.032	0.032	0.028	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021
Barbados	0.032	0.031	0.015	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
Niger	0.031	0.030	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008
Estonia	0.031	0.030	0.067	0.044	0.044	0.044	0.044
Mauritania	0.030	0.030	0.008	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
Botswana	0.029	0.029	0.054	0.038	0.037	0.036	0.036
Benin	0.029	0.028	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.010	0.009
Burkina Faso	0.028	0.028	0.010	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012
Chad	0.026	0.026	0.016	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
Central African Republic	0.026	0.026	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
Lao, People's Dem. Republic	0.025	0.024	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008
Mongolia	0.024	0.023	0.010	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007
Swaziland	0.024	0.023	0.021	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
Albania	0.023	0.022	0.026	0.021	0.021	0.022	0.021
Lesotho	0.016	0.016	0.012	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.006
Equatorial Guinea	0.015	0.015	0.039	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.023
Gambia, The	0.015	0.014	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Montenegro	n.a.	0.013	0.008	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
Belize	0.009	0.009	0.006	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.004
San Marino	0.008	0.008	0.024	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.010
Vanuatu	0.008	0.008	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Djibouti	0.007	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Eritrea	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
St. Lucia	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Guinea-Bissau	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Antigua and Barbuda	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Grenada	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Samoa	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Solomon Islands	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Cape Verde	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
Comoros	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Seychelles	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002

**Table 8a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios with Capped Reserves—by Member 1 / 2/ (concluded)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 3/			Existing Five Formulas			Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Reserves Cap Based on 5/					
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/	Ad Hoc Increases 4/	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5)		Average GDP 6/	Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Reserves Cap Based on 5/		Linear Formula (50/30/15/5) with Reserves Cap Based on 5/			
	Dominica	Maldives	Timor-Leste	Sao Tome and Principe	Tonga	Bhutan	Kiribati	Micronesia, Fed. States of	Marshall Islands	Palau, Republic of	Current Payments 7/	M2 8/
	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.006	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001
	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.003
	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

Source: Finance Department.

1/ Calculated as the sum of variable weights multiplied with a country's share in the global total of the respective variables.

2/ Based on 1992-2004 data. Reflects the impact of adjustments to current receipts and payments for re-exports, international banking interest, and non-monetary gold.

3/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

4/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

5/ Reserve caps are defined as the average of reserves to a scaling variable (average GDP, current payments, M2) plus one standard deviation.

6/ Average GDP 2002-04.

7/ Average current payments 2000-04.

8/ Quarterly average of M2 for 2004. M2 is defined as the IFS category for "Money plus Quasi Money" (line 35i) except for members of the euro area, for whom it is defined, on a national residency basis, as the sum of "Currency Issued" (line 34a.n), "Demand Deposits" (line 34b.n), and "Other Deposits" (line 35..n). The 12 countries for which M2 data are not available are included with their uncapped reserves.

9/ Includes China, P.R., and Hong Kong, SAR.

**Table 9a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios Using Different Weights for GDP—by Member 1/2/  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 3/ Pre Ad Hoc Increases			Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/ Formulas			Existing Five Formulas			GDP 35% Openness 35% Variability 25% Reserves 5%			GDP 35% Openness 25% Variability 35% Reserves 5%			GDP 65% Openness 20% Variability 10% Reserves 5%			GDP 65% Openness 10% Variability 20% Reserves 5%					
	United States	17.382	17.076	16.793	21.378	21.886	25.058	25.516	Japan	6.229	6.119	7.525	9.050	9.160	10.744	10.854	Germany	6.087	5.979	6.952	7.081	6.884	6.752	6.556
France	5.025	4.936	4.333	4.308	4.112	4.792	4.325	4.373	United Kingdom	5.025	4.936	5.175	4.540	4.121	4.792	4.373	United Kingdom	5.025	4.936	4.333	4.308	4.112	4.792	4.373
China 5/	2.980	3.719	5.197	4.891	4.688	5.042	4.839	4.839	Italy	3.301	3.243	3.442	3.330	3.110	3.619	3.399	Saudi Arabia	3.269	3.211	1.063	0.765	0.683	0.683	0.685
Canada	2.980	2.928	3.098	2.668	2.543	2.516	2.391	2.391	Russia	2.782	2.733	1.519	1.622	1.713	1.445	1.535	Netherlands	2.416	2.373	2.880	2.054	1.855	1.770	1.570
Belgium	2.155	2.117	2.088	1.433	1.316	1.152	1.035	1.035	India	1.946	1.911	1.200	1.288	1.265	1.493	1.470	Belgium	2.155	2.117	2.088	1.433	1.316	1.152	1.035
Switzerland	1.618	1.590	1.530	1.358	1.335	1.140	1.117	1.117	Australia	1.514	1.488	1.182	1.223	1.212	1.319	1.308	India	1.618	1.590	1.530	1.358	1.335	1.140	1.117
Mexico	1.210	1.449	1.928	1.984	1.969	1.894	1.899	1.899	Spain	1.427	1.401	2.249	2.261	2.185	2.286	2.210	Brazil	1.421	1.396	0.998	1.366	1.464	1.378	1.476
Korea	0.764	1.346	2.508	2.294	2.311	2.073	2.090	2.090	Venezuela	1.244	1.222	0.415	0.396	0.434	0.326	0.365	Sweden	1.121	1.101	1.229	1.039	0.995	0.935	0.891
Argentina	0.991	0.973	0.956	0.767	0.809	0.609	0.434	0.434	Indonesia	0.973	0.956	0.767	0.847	0.724	0.762	0.767	Austria	0.876	0.861	1.142	0.939	0.874	0.820	0.755
South Africa	0.874	0.859	0.436	0.459	0.463	0.448	0.451	0.451	Nigeria	0.820	0.806	0.309	0.270	0.289	0.209	0.238	Norway	0.782	0.768	0.868	0.901	0.746	0.779	0.779
Denmark	0.769	0.755	1.078	0.909	0.925	0.751	0.767	0.767	Iran	0.701	0.688	0.404	0.369	0.365	0.387	0.383	Malaysia	0.696	0.683	1.399	0.892	0.895	0.626	0.629
Kuwait	0.646	0.635	0.351	0.243	0.261	0.184	0.201	0.201	Ukraine	0.642	0.631	0.277	0.238	0.242	0.192	0.197	Poland	0.641	0.629	0.739	0.776	0.797	0.699	0.720
Finland	0.591	0.581	0.546	0.555	0.555	0.494	0.494	0.494	Algeria	0.587	0.577	0.325	0.314	0.341	0.267	0.295								

**Table 9a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios Using Different Weights for GDP—by Member 1/2 (continued)**  
 (In percent)

**Table 9a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios Using Different Weights for GDP—by Member 1/2 (continued)**  
 (In percent)

	Actual Quotas 3/ Pre Ad Hoc Increases			Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/ Five Formulas			GDP 35% Openness 35% Variability 25% Reserves 5%			GDP 35% Openness 25% Variability 35% Reserves 5%			GDP 65% Openness 20% Variability 10% Reserves 5%			GDP 65% Openness 10% Variability 20% Reserves 5%		
	Actual Quotas 3/ Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases	Increases 4/ Five Formulas															
Cote d'Ivoire	0.152	0.149	0.061				0.049			0.048			0.044			0.043		
Sudan	0.147	0.145	0.040				0.042			0.043			0.045			0.045		
Uruguay	0.143	0.141	0.047				0.072			0.084			0.050			0.063		
Ecuador	0.141	0.139	0.078				0.146			0.173			0.099			0.126		
Syrian Arab Republic	0.137	0.135	0.122				0.152			0.154			0.207			0.210		
Tunisia	0.134	0.132	0.105				0.096			0.094			0.083			0.081		
Angola	0.134	0.132	0.193				0.161			0.196			0.091			0.126		
Luxembourg	0.131	0.128	1.375				0.524			0.533			0.295			0.303		
Uzbekistan	0.129	0.127	0.043				0.054			0.061			0.040			0.047		
Jamaica	0.128	0.126	0.051				0.049			0.050			0.036			0.038		
Kenya	0.127	0.125	0.036				0.044			0.046			0.042			0.044		
Qatar	0.123	0.121	0.134				0.118			0.125			0.090			0.097		
Myanmar	0.121	0.119	0.032				0.036			0.039			0.034			0.036		
Yemen, Republic of	0.114	0.112	0.117				0.113			0.137			0.070			0.093		
Slovenia	0.108	0.107	0.144				0.117			0.111			0.102			0.096		
Dominican Republic	0.102	0.101	0.085				0.074			0.073			0.062			0.061		
Brunei Darussalam	0.101	0.099	0.058				0.065			0.078			0.036			0.048		
Guatemala	0.098	0.097	0.065				0.071			0.072			0.070			0.071		
Panama	0.097	0.095	0.049				0.057			0.062			0.045			0.050		
Lebanon	0.095	0.093	0.176				0.151			0.167			0.108			0.123		
Tanzania	0.093	0.091	0.033				0.036			0.038			0.033			0.035		
Oman	0.091	0.089	0.150				0.108			0.112			0.085			0.089		
Cameroon	0.087	0.085	0.033				0.041			0.043			0.039			0.042		
Uganda	0.084	0.083	0.025				0.025			0.027			0.021			0.023		
Bolivia	0.080	0.079	0.023				0.025			0.026			0.024			0.025		
El Salvador	0.080	0.079	0.053				0.050			0.050			0.046			0.046		
Jordan	0.080	0.078	0.082				0.067			0.068			0.051			0.052		
Bosnia-Herzegovina	0.079	0.078	0.066				0.051			0.055			0.035			0.039		
Costa Rica	0.077	0.075	0.084				0.072			0.072			0.061			0.060		
Islamic Republic of Afghanistan	0.076	0.074	0.042				0.045			0.055			0.027			0.037		
Senegal	0.076	0.074	0.024				0.022			0.021			0.020			0.020		
Azerbaijan	0.075	0.074	0.036				0.034			0.035			0.027			0.028		
Gabon	0.072	0.071	0.047				0.037			0.041			0.026			0.030		
Georgia	0.070	0.069	0.017				0.016			0.017			0.013			0.014		
Lithuania	0.067	0.066	0.096				0.096			0.075			0.065			0.064		

**Table 9a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios Using Different Weights for GDP—by Member 1/ 2/ (continued)**  
(In percent)

	Actual Quotas 3/			GDP 35%			GDP 65%				
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases		Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/	Existing Five Formulas		Openness 35% Variability 25% Reserves 5%		Openness 20% Variability 10% Reserves 5%			
	GDP 35%	Openness 20%	Variability 10%	GDP 65%	Openness 10%	Variability 20%	Reserves 5%	GDP 65%	Openness 20%	Variability 10%	Reserves 5%
Cyprus	0.065	0.064	0.064	0.061	0.065	0.065	0.051	0.055	0.055	0.055	0.055
Namibia	0.064	0.063	0.063	0.024	0.017	0.017	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.014
Bahrain	0.063	0.062	0.062	0.144	0.109	0.122	0.065	0.078	0.078	0.078	0.078
Ethiopia	0.063	0.061	0.061	0.076	0.089	0.115	0.049	0.074	0.074	0.074	0.074
Papua New Guinea	0.062	0.060	0.060	0.028	0.023	0.024	0.016	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
Bahamas, The	0.061	0.060	0.060	0.029	0.023	0.023	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019	0.019
Nicaragua	0.061	0.060	0.060	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017	0.017
Honduras	0.061	0.060	0.060	0.037	0.031	0.030	0.026	0.025	0.025	0.025	0.025
Liberia	0.060	0.059	0.059	0.005	0.006	0.008	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
Latvia	0.059	0.058	0.058	0.053	0.046	0.046	0.039	0.038	0.038	0.038	0.038
Moldova	0.058	0.057	0.056	0.018	0.014	0.015	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011
Madagascar	0.057	0.056	0.022	0.020	0.022	0.020	0.016	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
Iceland	0.055	0.054	0.035	0.038	0.038	0.039	0.033	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.034
Mozambique	0.053	0.052	0.025	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.018	0.018
Guinea	0.050	0.049	0.010	0.011	0.012	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.011	0.011
Sierra Leone	0.049	0.048	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.006	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
Malta	0.048	0.047	0.058	0.038	0.037	0.037	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027	0.027
Mauritius	0.048	0.047	0.032	0.026	0.026	0.026	0.022	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021
Paraguay	0.047	0.046	0.039	0.036	0.040	0.040	0.026	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030
Mali	0.044	0.043	0.015	0.013	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.013	0.013	0.013	0.013
Suriname	0.043	0.042	0.009	0.008	0.010	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005
Armenia	0.043	0.042	0.012	0.011	0.011	0.010	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009
Guyana	0.043	0.042	0.015	0.011	0.013	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008
Kyrgyz Republic	0.042	0.041	0.010	0.014	0.011	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009
Cambodia	0.041	0.040	0.055	0.047	0.055	0.055	0.028	0.036	0.036	0.036	0.036
Tajikistan	0.041	0.040	0.012	0.010	0.011	0.011	0.007	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008
Congo, Republic of	0.040	0.039	0.029	0.027	0.030	0.030	0.017	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021
Haiti	0.038	0.038	0.014	0.014	0.015	0.015	0.011	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.012
Somalia	0.038	0.038	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Rwanda	0.037	0.037	0.007	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.006	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007
Burundi	0.036	0.035	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Turkmenistan	0.035	0.035	0.046	0.044	0.046	0.046	0.040	0.042	0.042	0.042	0.042
Togo	0.034	0.034	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.007
Nepal	0.033	0.033	0.021	0.022	0.023	0.023	0.020	0.021	0.021	0.021	0.021
Fiji	0.033	0.032	0.011	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008

**Table 9a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios Using Different Weights for GDP—by Member 1/2 (continued)**  
 (In percent)

	Actual Quotas 3/		Existing Five Formulas		GDP 35%		GDP 65%		GDP 65%	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/			GDP 35% Reserves 5%	Openness 35% Variability 25% Reserves 5%	GDP 65% Openness 20% Variability 10% Reserves 5%	GDP 65% Openness 10% Variability 20% Reserves 5%	GDP 65% Openness 10% Variability 20% Reserves 5%	
Malawi	0.032	0.032	0.007	0.007	0.007	0.024	0.026	0.019	0.006	0.006
Macedonia, FYR	0.032	0.032	0.028	0.014	0.014	0.014	0.011	0.011	0.020	0.020
Barbados	0.032	0.031	0.015	0.008	0.008	0.009	0.007	0.007	0.011	0.011
Niger	0.031	0.030	0.007	0.049	0.047	0.047	0.038	0.038	0.008	0.008
Estonia	0.031	0.030	0.067	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.005	0.005	0.036	0.036
Mauritania	0.030	0.030	0.008	0.045	0.047	0.047	0.034	0.034	0.036	0.036
Botswana	0.029	0.029	0.054	0.045	0.047	0.047	0.010	0.010	0.009	0.009
Benin	0.029	0.028	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.013
Burkina Faso	0.028	0.028	0.010	0.016	0.016	0.018	0.011	0.011	0.013	0.013
Chad	0.026	0.026	0.012	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.013
Central African Republic	0.026	0.026	0.003	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
Lao, People's Dem. Republic	0.025	0.024	0.006	0.009	0.010	0.009	0.006	0.006	0.008	0.008
Mongolia	0.024	0.023	0.023	0.021	0.015	0.016	0.010	0.010	0.011	0.011
Swaziland	0.024	0.023	0.026	0.023	0.023	0.023	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.021
Albania	0.023	0.022	0.016	0.012	0.008	0.008	0.006	0.006	0.008	0.008
Lesotho	0.016	0.015	0.015	0.039	0.029	0.031	0.019	0.019	0.020	0.020
Equatorial Guinea	0.015	0.015	0.014	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Gambia, The	n.a.	0.013	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.006
Montenegro	0.009	0.009	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
Belize										
San Marino	0.008	0.024	0.013	0.014	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008
Vanuatu	0.008	0.008	0.003	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Djibouti	0.007	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Eritrea	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005
St. Lucia	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Guinea-Bissau	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Antigua and Barbuda	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Grenada	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002
Samoa	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Solomon Islands	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Cape Verde	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Comoros	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
St. Kits and Nevis	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Seychelles	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002

**Table 9a. Linear Formulas—Scenarios Using Different Weights for GDP—by Member 1/ 2/ (concluded)**  
 (In percent)

	Actual Quotas 3/		Existing Five Formulas		GDP 35%		GDP 35%		GDP 65%	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increases	Post Ad Hoc Increases 4/			GDP 35%	Openness 25%	Variability 25%	Reserves 5%	Openness 20%	Openness 10%
Dominica	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001
Maldives	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Timor-Leste	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.003
Sao Tome and Principe	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Tonga	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001
Bhutan	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Kiribati	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Micronesia, Fed. States of	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001
Marshall Islands	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Palau, Republic of	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001

Source: Finance Department.

1/ Calculated as the sum of variable weights multiplied with a country's share in the global total of the respective variables. Weights do not reflect a variable's contribution per se as correlation among variables is high.

2/ Based on 1992-2004 data. Reflects the impact of adjustments to current receipts and payments for re-exports, international banking interest, and non-monetary gold.

3/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

4/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey, also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

5/ Includes China, P.R., and Hong Kong, SAR.

**Table 10a. Scenarios Using Various Compression Factors—by Member  
(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/ Pre Ad Hoc Increase			Existing Five Formulas			Linear Formula 3/ 0.84/			Compressed Linear Formula 0.85 5/		
	Post Ad Hoc Increase 2/											
United States	17.382	17.076	16.793	23.104	14.937	16.841						
Japan	6.229	6.119	7.525	9.869	7.564	8.173						
Germany	6.087	5.979	6.952	6.966	5.724	6.078						
France	5.025	4.936	4.333	4.463	4.009	4.163						
United Kingdom	5.025	4.936	5.175	4.771	4.229	4.406						
China 6/	2.980	3.719	5.197	5.017	4.403	4.599						
Italy	3.301	3.243	3.442	3.529	3.322	3.410						
Saudi Arabia	3.269	3.211	1.063	0.724	0.935	0.887						
Canada	2.980	2.928	3.098	2.623	2.620	2.650						
Russia	2.782	2.733	1.519	1.511	1.685	1.658						
Netherlands	2.416	2.373	2.880	1.962	2.078	2.071						
Belgium	2.155	2.117	2.088	1.322	1.514	1.480						
India	1.946	1.911	1.200	1.396	1.582	1.551						
Switzerland	1.618	1.590	1.530	1.255	1.453	1.416						
Australia	1.514	1.488	1.182	1.274	1.470	1.434						
Mexico	1.210	1.449	1.928	1.937	2.056	2.048						
Spain	1.427	1.401	2.249	2.292	2.353	2.363						
Brazil	1.421	1.396	0.998	1.348	1.538	1.505						
Korea	0.764	1.346	2.508	2.179	2.259	2.263						
Venezuela	1.244	1.222	0.415	0.351	0.525	0.480						
Sweden	1.121	1.101	1.229	0.998	1.210	1.166						
Argentina	0.991	0.973	0.396	0.472	0.665	0.617						
Indonesia	0.973	0.956	0.767	0.757	0.969	0.921						
Austria	0.876	0.861	1.142	0.896	1.110	1.063						
South Africa	0.874	0.859	0.436	0.453	0.643	0.595						
Nigeria	0.820	0.806	0.309	0.232	0.377	0.338						
Norway	0.782	0.768	0.868	0.799	1.012	0.965						
Denmark	0.769	0.755	1.078	0.826	1.040	0.993						
Iran	0.701	0.688	0.404	0.379	0.558	0.512						
Malaysia	0.696	0.683	1.399	0.759	0.972	0.923						
Kuwait	0.646	0.635	0.351	0.209	0.347	0.309						
Ukraine	0.642	0.631	0.277	0.214	0.353	0.315						
Poland	0.641	0.629	0.739	0.732	0.944	0.895						
Finland	0.591	0.581	0.546	0.525	0.723	0.675						
Algeria	0.587	0.577	0.325	0.284	0.442	0.400						

**Table 10a. Scenarios Using Various Compression Factors—by Member (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/			Compressed Linear Formula 0.85 5/		
	Pre Ad Hoc Increase		Post Ad Hoc Increase 2/	Existing Five Formulas		Linear Formula 3/
	0.451	0.548	0.741	0.852	1.065	1.018
Turkey	0.451	0.548	0.741	0.852	1.065	1.018
Iraq	0.556	0.546	0.246	0.133	0.242	0.211
Libya	0.526	0.517	0.228	0.160	0.280	0.246
Thailand	0.506	0.497	0.909	0.710	0.921	0.872
Hungary	0.486	0.477	0.468	0.364	0.540	0.495
Pakistan	0.484	0.475	0.191	0.233	0.377	0.338
Romania	0.482	0.474	0.207	0.203	0.339	0.302
Egypt	0.442	0.434	0.248	0.258	0.409	0.369
Israel	0.434	0.427	0.579	0.447	0.636	0.589
New Zealand	0.419	0.411	0.229	0.227	0.370	0.331
Philippines	0.412	0.404	0.504	0.363	0.539	0.494
Portugal	0.406	0.399	0.528	0.479	0.673	0.625
Singapore	0.404	0.396	1.922	0.996	1.208	1.163
Chile	0.401	0.394	0.298	0.278	0.435	0.393
Ireland	0.392	0.385	1.676	1.152	1.357	1.317
Greece	0.385	0.378	0.456	0.529	0.728	0.679
Czech Republic	0.383	0.377	0.538	0.427	0.613	0.566
Colombia	0.362	0.356	0.208	0.241	0.388	0.349
Bulgaria	0.300	0.294	0.113	0.091	0.178	0.152
Peru	0.299	0.293	0.139	0.183	0.311	0.275
United Arab Emirates	0.286	0.281	0.461	0.355	0.529	0.484
Morocco	0.275	0.270	0.165	0.152	0.268	0.235
Bangladesh	0.250	0.245	0.103	0.120	0.222	0.193
Congo, Dem. Republic of	0.249	0.245	0.025	0.101	0.194	0.167
Zambia	0.229	0.225	0.022	0.020	0.053	0.042
Serbia	0.219	0.215	0.111	0.080	0.160	0.136
Sri Lanka	0.193	0.190	0.074	0.063	0.133	0.112
Belarus	0.181	0.178	0.118	0.077	0.155	0.132
Ghana	0.173	0.170	0.043	0.035	0.083	0.068
Kazakhstan	0.171	0.168	0.164	0.133	0.241	0.210
Croatia	0.171	0.168	0.144	0.124	0.228	0.198
Slovak Republic	0.167	0.164	0.231	0.159	0.278	0.244
Zimbabwe	0.165	0.162	0.026	0.030	0.073	0.059
Trinidad and Tobago	0.157	0.154	0.062	0.045	0.101	0.083
Vietnam	0.154	0.151	0.214	0.158	0.277	0.243

**Table 10a. Scenarios Using Various Compression Factors—by Member (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/			Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula 3/	Compressed Linear Formula 0.84/	Compressed Linear Formula 0.85 5/
	Pre Ad Hoc	Post Ad Hoc	Increase 2/				
	Increase	Increase	Increase				
Côte d'Ivoire	0.152	0.149	0.061	0.047	0.105	0.087	
Sudan	0.147	0.145	0.040	0.043	0.099	0.081	
Uruguay	0.143	0.141	0.047	0.058	0.124	0.103	
Ecuador	0.141	0.139	0.078	0.115	0.215	0.186	
Syrian Arab Republic	0.137	0.135	0.122	0.179	0.306	0.270	
Tunisia	0.134	0.132	0.105	0.090	0.177	0.151	
Angola	0.134	0.132	0.193	0.117	0.218	0.189	
Luxembourg	0.131	0.128	1.375	0.407	0.590	0.544	
Uzbekistan	0.129	0.127	0.043	0.045	0.101	0.084	
Jamaica	0.128	0.126	0.051	0.042	0.096	0.079	
Kenya	0.127	0.125	0.036	0.043	0.097	0.080	
Qatar	0.123	0.121	0.134	0.102	0.195	0.168	
Myanmar	0.121	0.119	0.032	0.035	0.082	0.067	
Yemen, Republic of	0.114	0.112	0.117	0.085	0.169	0.144	
Slovenia	0.108	0.107	0.144	0.111	0.209	0.180	
Dominican Republic	0.102	0.101	0.085	0.068	0.141	0.119	
Brunei Darussalam	0.101	0.099	0.058	0.048	0.106	0.088	
Guatemala	0.098	0.097	0.065	0.071	0.146	0.123	
Panama	0.097	0.095	0.049	0.050	0.111	0.092	
Lebanon	0.095	0.093	0.176	0.126	0.230	0.200	
Tanzania	0.093	0.091	0.033	0.034	0.081	0.066	
Oman	0.091	0.089	0.150	0.096	0.186	0.159	
Cameroon	0.087	0.085	0.033	0.039	0.091	0.075	
Uganda	0.084	0.083	0.025	0.022	0.058	0.046	
Bolivia	0.080	0.079	0.023	0.025	0.062	0.050	
El Salvador	0.080	0.079	0.053	0.048	0.107	0.088	
Jordan	0.080	0.078	0.082	0.059	0.126	0.105	
Bosnia-Herzegovina	0.079	0.078	0.066	0.042	0.096	0.079	
Costa Rica	0.077	0.075	0.084	0.066	0.138	0.116	
Islamic Republic of Afghanistan	0.076	0.074	0.042	0.034	0.081	0.066	
Senegal	0.076	0.074	0.024	0.021	0.055	0.044	
Azerbaijan	0.075	0.074	0.036	0.030	0.073	0.059	
Gabon	0.072	0.071	0.047	0.030	0.074	0.060	
Georgia	0.070	0.069	0.017	0.014	0.041	0.032	
Lithuania	0.067	0.066	0.096	0.070	0.145	0.122	

**Table 10a. Scenarios Using Various Compression Factors—by Member (continued)**  
**(In percent)**

	Actual Quotas 1/			Existing Five Formulas			Compressed Linear Formula 0.8/		Compressed Linear Formula 0.85 5/	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increase		Post Ad Hoc Increase 2/	Linear Formula 3/		Compressed Linear Formula 0.8/		Compressed Linear Formula 0.85 5/		
	Actual Quotas 1/	Post Ad Hoc Increase 2/	Linear Formula 3/	Compressed Linear Formula 0.8/	Compressed Linear Formula 0.85 5/					
Cyprus	0.065	0.064	0.061	0.058	0.123	0.103				
Namibia	0.064	0.063	0.024	0.044	0.035					
Bahrain	0.063	0.062	0.144	0.084	0.167	0.142				
Ethiopia	0.063	0.061	0.076	0.062	0.131	0.110				
Papua New Guinea	0.062	0.060	0.028	0.019	0.051	0.040				
Bahamas, The	0.061	0.060	0.029	0.021	0.056	0.045				
Nicaragua	0.061	0.060	0.021	0.018	0.050	0.039				
Honduras	0.061	0.060	0.037	0.029	0.071	0.057				
Liberia	0.060	0.059	0.005	0.005	0.016	0.012				
Latvia	0.059	0.058	0.053	0.043	0.097	0.080				
Moldova	0.058	0.057	0.018	0.012	0.035	0.027				
Madagascar	0.057	0.056	0.022	0.018	0.048	0.038				
Iceland	0.055	0.054	0.035	0.036	0.084	0.069				
Mozambique	0.053	0.052	0.025	0.019	0.051	0.040				
Guinea	0.050	0.049	0.010	0.011	0.032	0.025				
Sierra Leone	0.049	0.048	0.004	0.004	0.015	0.011				
Malta	0.048	0.047	0.058	0.032	0.078	0.063				
Mauritius	0.048	0.047	0.032	0.024	0.062	0.049				
Paraguay	0.047	0.046	0.039	0.030	0.074	0.060				
Mali	0.044	0.043	0.015	0.013	0.037	0.029				
Suriname	0.043	0.042	0.009	0.006	0.021	0.016				
Armenia	0.043	0.042	0.012	0.010	0.031	0.024				
Guyana	0.043	0.042	0.015	0.008	0.026	0.020				
Kyrgyz Republic	0.042	0.041	0.010	0.009	0.027	0.021				
Cambodia	0.041	0.040	0.055	0.035	0.084	0.068				
Tajikistan	0.041	0.040	0.012	0.009	0.027	0.020				
Congo, Republic of	0.040	0.039	0.029	0.021	0.055	0.044				
Haiti	0.038	0.038	0.014	0.012	0.036	0.028				
Somalia	0.038	0.038	0.002	0.001	0.007	0.005				
Rwanda	0.037	0.037	0.007	0.007	0.022	0.017				
Burundi	0.036	0.035	0.003	0.003	0.011	0.008				
Turkmenistan	0.035	0.035	0.046	0.041	0.095	0.078				
Togo	0.034	0.034	0.009	0.007	0.024	0.018				
Nepal	0.033	0.033	0.021	0.021	0.055	0.044				
Fiji	0.033	0.032	0.011	0.009	0.027	0.027				

**Table 10a. Scenarios Using Various Compression Factors—by Member (continued)**  
 (In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas		Linear Formula 3/		Compressed Linear Formula 0.84/		Compressed Linear Formula 0.855/	
	Pre Ad Hoc Increase	Post Ad Hoc Increase 2/								
Malawi	0.032	0.032	0.007	0.006	0.021	0.016				
Macedonia, FYR	0.032	0.032	0.028	0.021	0.056	0.044				
Barbados	0.032	0.031	0.015	0.013	0.037	0.028				
Niger	0.031	0.030	0.007	0.008	0.025	0.019				
Estonia	0.031	0.030	0.067	0.044	0.099	0.082				
Mauritania	0.030	0.030	0.008	0.006	0.020	0.015				
Botswana	0.029	0.029	0.054	0.038	0.089	0.073				
Benin	0.029	0.028	0.009	0.009	0.029	0.022				
Burkina Faso	0.028	0.028	0.010	0.012	0.034	0.026				
Chad	0.026	0.026	0.016	0.013	0.038	0.029				
Central African Republic	0.026	0.026	0.003	0.004	0.015	0.011				
Lao, People's Dem. Republic	0.025	0.024	0.024	0.006	0.025	0.019				
Mongolia	0.024	0.023	0.010	0.007	0.023	0.017				
Swaziland	0.024	0.023	0.021	0.013	0.037	0.028				
Albania	0.023	0.023	0.026	0.021	0.056	0.045				
Lesotho	0.016	0.016	0.012	0.007	0.022	0.017				
Equatorial Guinea	0.015	0.015	0.039	0.024	0.061	0.048				
Gambia, The	0.015	0.014	0.003	0.002	0.009	0.006				
Montenegro	n.a.	0.013	0.008	0.006	0.021	0.015				
Belize	0.009	0.009	0.006	0.004	0.016	0.012				
San Marino	0.008	0.008	0.024	0.010	0.032	0.024				
Vanuatu	0.008	0.008	0.003	0.002	0.008	0.006				
Djibouti	0.007	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.011	0.008				
Eritrea	0.007	0.007	0.008	0.005	0.017	0.013				
St. Lucia	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.012	0.009				
Guinea-Bissau	0.007	0.007	0.004	0.003	0.011	0.008				
Antigua and Barbuda	0.006	0.006	0.006	0.003	0.013	0.009				
Grenada	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.009	0.007				
Samoa	0.005	0.005	0.002	0.001	0.006	0.004				
Solomon Islands	0.005	0.005	0.003	0.002	0.007	0.005				
Cape Verde	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.014	0.010				
Comoros	0.004	0.004	0.001	0.005	0.005	0.003				
St. Kitts and Nevis	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.007	0.005				
Seychelles	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.014	0.010				
St. Vincent and the Grenadines	0.004	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.008	0.006				

**Table 10a. Scenarios Using Various Compression Factors—by Member (concluded)**  
 (In percent)

	Actual Quotas 1/		Existing Five Formulas	Linear Formula 3/ 0.84/	Compressed Linear Formula 0.84/	Compressed Linear Formula 0.855/
	Pre Ad Hoc Increase	Post Ad Hoc Increase 2/				
Dominica	0.004	0.004	0.002	0.001	0.006	0.004
Maldives	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.013	0.009
Timor-Leste	0.004	0.004	0.006	0.003	0.013	0.009
Sao Tome and Principe	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.001
Tonga	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.001	0.005	0.004
Bhutan	0.003	0.003	0.004	0.003	0.012	0.008
Kiribati	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.001	0.006	0.004
Micronesia, Fed. States of	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.007	0.005
Marshall Islands	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.004	0.002
Palau, Republic of	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.005	0.003

Source: Finance Department.

1/ For the three countries that have not yet consented to, and paid for, their quota increases, 11th Review proposed quotas are used.

2/ Includes ad hoc increases for China, Korea, Mexico, and Turkey; also includes Montenegro, which became a member on January 18, 2007.

3/  $Q = 0.5^* \text{Average GDP} + 0.3^*\text{Openness} + 0.15^*\text{Variability} + 0.05^*\text{Reserves}$ .

4/  $Q = (0.5^* \text{Average GDP} + 0.3^*\text{Openness} + 0.15^*\text{Variability} + 0.05^*\text{Reserves})^{0.8}$ . This requires rescaling of calculated shares.

5/  $Q = (0.5^* \text{Average GDP} + 0.3^*\text{Openness} + 0.15^*\text{Variability} + 0.05^*\text{Reserves})^{0.85}$ . This requires rescaling of calculated shares.

6/ Includes China, P.R., and Hong Kong, SAR.

March 26, 2007

**Statement by the Managing Director on  
A New Quota Formula—Additional Considerations  
Executive Board Informal Seminar  
March 28, 2007**

We have all agreed that successful completion of the quota and voice reform program is crucial for the future effectiveness of the Fund, and that broad consensus on a new quota formula is an essential step in this reform process. We also have recognized from the outset that reaching this broad consensus will be challenging, given the technical and political complexity of the issues involved. We are making progress, but are still very much at the stage of exploring the conceptual and technical issues related to the choice and definition of variables to be included in the formula.

The issues under consideration should be approached in the first place from the perspective of the principles that should guide the development of a new formula. From our first informal discussion, I sensed general agreement that the new formula should be simple and transparent, be consistent with the roles of quotas, and appropriately capture members' relative positions in the global economy, which have evolved considerably in recent years. These principles will help to narrow the range of options, but still leave significant scope for judgment in arriving at a new formula. In the exercise of this judgment, I believe that we should also keep in mind some broader considerations.

A fundamental goal of these reforms is to safeguard and enhance the effectiveness and credibility of the Fund, as emphasized in the Executive Board's Report to the Board of Governors last September. We should also bear in mind that the new quota formula needs to be broadly acceptable to the membership as a whole—otherwise, the reform program cannot be implemented successfully. In keeping with these broader considerations, I believe it will be important that the new formula results in higher shares for dynamic economies, especially for emerging market countries, whose weight and role in the global economy have been growing. We also need to be mindful of the goal of enhancing the voice and participation of low income countries, a key mechanism for which is an increase in basic votes. This suggests that we would not expect to see an outcome that raises the calculated quota share of advanced countries as a whole, although this would still allow for increases for individual advanced countries that are underrepresented.

Against this background, several options have been suggested that individually or in combination could contribute to the development of a formula that can command the

necessary broad consensus. These include using a blended GDP variable, inclusion of population, and compression. We should also keep in mind the indications by some large economies of their willingness to consider forgoing part of any quota increase under the current reforms. I would encourage you to approach our upcoming discussions of these issues with an open mind, and not to rule out any options prematurely.

Our second informal seminar will also take up important questions regarding the definition of other variables, including the possible modernization of the openness variable to better reflect the importance of capital flows in the global economy, the treatment of intra-currency union trade, and the role of reserves. The paper also takes up issues related to variability and other potential measures of the demand for Fund resources.

While we should not expect to resolve these issues at this juncture, I hope we can begin to chart a way forward and provide a basis for a further narrowing of options in the period following the Spring Meetings. Our objective remains to reach a consensus on a new formula by the time of the Annual Meetings this year, and certainly no later than the Spring Meetings in 2008.